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## AUCTIONS IN DECEMBER

3/4 Bournemouth Stamp Auctions General Sale with good one-country collections of the world.

5 Postal History, strong in Great Britain; military mail and pre-adhesive rarities.

9 Europe and Overseas including the Svend Yort Scandinavia.
16 India and States with unique Hyderabad.
17 Victoria-a further portion of the J.R.W. Purves collection.

## Catalogues and information available from

Gordon H. Torrey, 5118 Duvall Drive, Washington D.C., 20016 or from the address below.

Bournemouth Stamp Auctions hold monthly sales (usually around 1500 lots) with general lots, one country collections and single rarities. Specialised sales comprising one country or group of countries, are held regularly in London, Basle, Geneva and other overseas philatelic centres.

Suitable material can always be accepted for inclusion in a suitable sale.
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## Elusive?

 That elusive cover or stamp you've been looking for turns up in my monthly auctions sooner or later. More likely sooner. The way to know when it does is to receive my auction catalogs. Free. And one way to receive my catalogs is to check off your collecting interest, foreign or U.S., on the coupon below, and send it in to me. Who knows? In this month's Kaufmann auction your stamp may be GODA dist.

1522 K Street. N. W. Washington, D.C. 20005
Call toll free: 800-424-9519
In Metro D.C. call 638-5658.


## THE EDITOR'S PAGE

Several excellent articles in this issue are the work of first time or occasional contributors. Jon Rose's outstanding analysis and listing of $15 \notin$ Type I 1869 covers is part of the continuing census of 1869 covers conducted by P.R.A., the 1869 group. Some unusual ways of paying 1845-51 domestic rates are presented by Duane Garrett. Abe Boyarsky shows apparently ordinary 1861 covers but with odd features. Discrepancies in catalog values for the 1855-57 10 $\phi$ are the basis of comments by Frank S. Levi, Jr. Allan Radin discusses some questions about Prussian closed mail regulations regarding part payment.

Section editors and regular contributors are represented by useful articles: Phil Wall points out confusion by auction describers between the $5 \phi$ N.Y. without initials and the plate proof on bond, and also a Sperati which temporarily passed as genuine, as well as how to avoid these pitfalls. Three articles by Bill Herzog deal with odd or rare varieties of 1861 stamps while Bob Hegland reports a new early date for the $3 \notin 1857$ Plate 26. Charles Starnes discusses postal relations and rates between the U.S. and Mexico. Some features of army mails in the Civil War, along with much interesting historical background, are recounted by Richard B. Graham. Further portions of Ashbrook's New YorkPanama sailings and of the Cunard sailings $1870-75$ by Walter Hubbard and Clifford Friend are included in this issue.

Special attention is called to Dick Graham's article in the Bank Note section. The unusual cover described, the intriguing questions it raises, and the whole absorbing subject of U.S. postal activity in the Orient make for fascinating and stimulating reading.

Several articles of interest to our members (and largely written by them) have appeared in recent periodicals. The first of a series of four articles by Ryohei Ishikawa on use of the 1869 issue from U.S. consular post offices in Japan is presented in the August 19801869 Times, while the May issue contains Michael Laurence's account of $10 \phi 1869$ covers to Switzerland. Single copies are $\$ 5$ each from Victor B. Krievins, 69-09 108th St., Apt. 104, Forest Hills, N.Y. 11375. A comprehensive listing and discussion of the PostPaid Withdrawn Ship Letter postmarks of the British Isles (see also May 1980 Chronicle) by Calvet M. Hahn appears in the October 1980 issue of S.P.A. Journal. Single copy $\$ 1.50$ from Robert B. Brandeberry, P.O. Box 9041, Wilmington, Del. 19809.

I'm pleased to report that Tom Alexander's Simpson's U.S. Postal Markings received a gold award at the recent A.P.S. literature competition at Spokane.

## Review: A Postal History of Mississippi, Stampless Period 1799-1860, Volume

 II. By Bruce C. Oakley, Jr. Published 1980 by the Southern Philatelic Federation. Over 648 pages (some unnumbered). $\$ 30.00$ postpaid from Southern Philatelic Federation, c/o Jerry S. Palazolo, 5010 Raleigh LaGrange Rd., Memphis, Tenn. 38128.This book continues and augments data contained in Volume I published in 1969. There is only minor duplication-to preserve continuity-of material from the earlier volume. New markings reported outnumber those in the original, and additional information on many previously recorded markings is included. Source material relating to the establishment of post offices in the Mississippi Territory 1799-1817, postal rates, and county formation is presented first, followed by nearly 250 pages on the postal markings, postmasters, and dates of operation of each individual territorial post office, separated into the western and eastern parts of Mississippi Territory. This section reproduces much original corre-
spondence from the Postmaster General's Letter Books and other sources pertaining to the foundation and operation of territorial post offices.

Mississippi post offices during statehood 1817-1860 are the subject of the next portion, which begins with lists of post offices in 1836, 1841, 1849, 1851, and 1861, and applicable postal rates for the period. Some 50 pages are devoted to previously unlisted handstamps; these pages also contain information about post office dates and postmasters. Lists of revised dates of use for postmarks in Volume I appear next, followed by reproductions of rate and associated markings not listed before. An extensive section-nearly 300 pages-on manuscript postmarks, including revised information on previously listed manuscript markings, fills the balance of the book. In Volume I manuscript postmarks were intermingled with handstamped markings; the separation in Volume II seems preferable.

A bibliography and index complete the book which is clearly and neatly printed from typescript. Markings are shown exact size and accurately reproduced. My only complaint is that the available blank spaces were not used to illustrate covers. The serious collector or student of Mississippi markings and postal history certainly needs this book, and also Volume I, still obtainable from some dealers. The author has generously granted publication rights to the Southern Philatelic Federation; proceeds will establish a permanent publication fund. The print run is relatively small and the price modest for a work of this typethose interested would be well advised to order their copies without delay.

Susan M. McDonald
Review: Postal Markings. By Harry M. Konwiser. Published 1980 by Quarterman Publications, Inc., 5 South Union St., Lawrence, Mass. 01843. vi +762 pages. $\$ 60.00$ from the publisher.

During the period 1933-54 Harry M. Konwiser, an early student of postal markings and postal history and original editor of the Stampless Cover Catalog, wrote a regular weekly column on "Postal Markings" in Stamps. This book is a collection of nearly all those columns-as many as could be located. They cover a wide range of subjects from early dates of postmarks to local stamps. Reports from readers provide the basis for many columns. U.S. philately is the topic most frequently encountered, but other countries are also discussed, chiefly Great Britain and Canada. As is usual in a continuing series of brief columns, there is considerable repetition as items are reported on for the second or third time.

Well-known philatelists and dealers past and present often appear as contributors or subjects, for example, Warren H. Colson, C. Corwith Wagner, Elliott Perry, R. J. Mechin, Ezra Cole, William C. Michaels, Edward Knapp, Everett Erle, Mannel Hahn, Samuel C. Paige, E. N. Sampson, and Walter S. Fishel, to select some names at random. A column dated Aug. 14, 1948, reports and reviews the first issue of the $3 c$ '51-'57 Chronicle, as edited by Tracy W. Simpson.

David L. Jarrett has contributed a foreword, and a brief index which helps considerably but which would need much greater detail to afford access to the scattered references on individual topics. The columns have been directly reproduced as they appeared in Stamps (typographical errors and varied type face retained) and arranged in chronological order. Careless dummying has garbled several pages: on page 279 the entry dated Sept. 9, 1939, is incomplete; on page 298 the right and left columns have been transposed; pages 348 and 349 are thoroughly muddled; page 392 should be 393 and vice-versa.

Much of the material in Postal Markings has been superseded by later publications and some information is out of date or inaccurate. Nevertheless this book makes very entertaining and enjoyable reading. There is a sense of discovery and exhilaration as the story of various postal history subjects unfolds. This is an ideal bedside book-unfortunately the stiff price discourages its purchase for so casual a purpose.

Susan M. McDonald



I MAINTAIN A STOCK OF PRE ADHESIVE AND STAMPED COVERS TO 1876 WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON UNUSUAL RATES, USAGES, AND LETTERS WITH HISTORICAL CONTENT. WHETHER BUYING OR SELLING YOUR INQUIRY WILL RECEIVE MY PROMPT ATTENTION. I HOPE THAT I MAY BE OF SERVICE.


The 8 cents postage on this wrapper sent from New Haven to New York prepaid one of several possible printed matter rates, probably the rate for 8 circulars or a pamphlet weighing over 7 but under 8 ounces. The unusual rate was prepaid with pairs of the 1 cent and 3 cent 1857's. The 1 cent stamps are Type II from positions 9-10R of the scarce plate 4. The right hand stamp is an exceptional example of the only plate 4 double transfer which is rated by Neinken as "among the rarest of the double transfers." This pair is typical of the "tall" stamps from the top and bottom rows of this plate that were the result of the resetting of the perforating machines for a short time.

> RICHARD C. FRAJOLA, INC.
> MOODY LANE
> DANBURY, CT 06810

## GUEST PRIVILEGE

## HOW TO DISTINGUISH N.Y. PM PROVISIONAL STAMP WITHOUT INITIALS FROM PLATE PROOF ON BOND

## PHILIP T. WALL

On two occasions within the past 12 months auction describers at what I consider to be two of the five leading auction houses in the United States have incorrectly described the two above captioned items. In addition it was my recent privilege to examine the 9X1 material submitted for certificates to one of the country's leading expert committees, where I found that four consultants had incorrectly identified a plate proof on white bond paper as an unused issued stamp without initials. Since an unused 9X1d is a rare stamp and catalogs $\$ 1200$ in the current (1980) Scott Specialized Catalogue of United States Stamps as compared with only $\$ 175$ for the relatively more common proof, buyers of these items should be certain they obtain the correct material.

The stamp (proof) in Figure A was described as follows: "Lot 93-9X1d, 1845, $5 \Varangle$ N.Y. Postmaster Provisional, w/o signature, 4 large mgns, light black town canc, small repaired tear, couple light creases, VF appear. PHOTO 450.00." The printed prices realized showed lot 93 brought $\$ 300$ (plus 10 percent). The item in question is a plate proof on bond paper and is position 8 on the plate of nine proofs. The so-called light black town cancel is not a cancellation at all but a part of the plate bruises that appear in the margin between positions 7 and 8 on the proof plate. The New York City Post Office at no time used black ink in its postmarks during the 1845-47 period. The proof in lot 93 is also the "shaded stock" variety which is found only on position 8 of the proof plate. None of the issued stamps has a "shaded stock".


Figure A. Proof misdescribed as stamp.


Figure B. Stamp misdescribed as proof.

The stamp in Figure B was described in this manner: "Lot 11R-5 $\phi$, Reprint, very fine (Photo-plate 1) (9X1R) 165.00." This proof has a strong double transfer at the bottom, and since I needed this position (position 6) of the plate proof on white bond paper for my plate reconstruction on this type of paper, I requested lot 11 for inspection purposes. Upon its arrival I was surprised to find it was not a proof but was instead the issued stamp and came from position 4 on the plate of 40 stamps. The describer had mistaken an issued stamp for the more common proof. The item realized a hammer price of $\$ 160$ whereas it would have realized much more had it been correctly identified.

How is the best way to distinguish between the issued stamps without initials and the plate proofs on bond paper? Stanley B. Ashbrook once wrote that the surest way to ascertain if a stamp is what it purports to be is to plate it. I certainly agree, and this is particularly true in this instance since both the issued stamps and the plate proofs can be plated. Unfortunately there are not
many platers today even for these two items which are relatively easy to plate in comparison with some of our early issued stamps of the 1847-1860 period.

Fortunately there are other ways to distinguish between the issued stamps and the plate proofs on bond paper. Their paper characteristics are entirely different: (1) the issued stamps are printed on wove paper whereas the proofs are printed on bond paper; and (2) the issued stamps usually ${ }^{\circ}$ have a slight bluish cast and hence are described as being printed on bluish paper, whereas the plate proofs in the color of the issued stamps are either on white bond paper or on a blue bond paper that is much darker than the paper used for any of the issued stamps including the blue paper variety (9X1a). While their exact measurements may differ somewhat due to uneven paper shrinkage, the issued stamps will usually be wider and shorter than the proofs printed in the issued color on white and blue bond papers. However, this test cannot be considered 100 percent foolproof because the proofs may vary by as much as 1.0 mm in height. Except for those copies printed on thick paper, the issued stamps are on thinner paper than the plate proofs on bond paper. One further aid that is sometimes helpful is the fact the proofs normally have larger margins than the issued stamps since they are spaced farther apart on the plate-both horizontally and vertically-than are the issued stamps and because eight of the nine positions have one or two sheet margins. Readers should keep in mind, however, anyone can always trim down the margins of these proofs.

For those collectors who can plate the 40 positions of the issued stamp and the nine positions of the plate proof, the matter of properly identifying these two items is relatively simple. I hope the above notes will assist nonplaters in distinguishing between these two items.

[^1]
## AN ALTERED SPERATI IMITATION OF THE NEW YORK POSTMASTER'S PROVISIONAL

PHILIP T. WALL

In my article on Sperati imitations of Postmaster Morris's stamp in the August 1979 Chronicle (Whole Number 103), I set forth on page 164 the best tests I have found in detecting these works of Sperati. The pre-World War II forgeries have a small white dot to the right of and almost touching the lower right corner of the "N" of "CENTS" and the postwar forgeries have a large white spot between the "N" and "T" of "CENTS". Recently I have seen a Sperati 9X1 that has neither identifying mark.

Figure C. Altered Sperafi imitation.


Within the past year a leading auction firm offered a stamp described as follows: "Lot 1096 5 $\neq$, large margins, red town and PAID cancels, superb (Photoplate I) (9X1) ( $\$ 400.00$ )." This item looked beautiful in the auction catalog photo (Figure C), and since there was no white dot or spot between the " $N$ " and "T" of "CENTS", I immediately requested to inspect lot 1096. As soon as I examined this lot I knew that it was a Sperati (BPA Type B) from the color and texture of the paper, but there was no white spot where one should have been. Could Sperati have made another cliché at some time late in his career that eliminated the tell-tale small white dot or large white spot?

I then examined the stamp with a high powered glass and found that someone (probably not Sperati) had taken a soft leaded black pencil and carefully filled in the large white spot. In addition the "artist" had strengthened or filled in the left frame line where it was either weak or broken and disjointed. This was most deceptive and would probably escape detection unless the area between the " N " and " T " was carefully checked with a good magnifier. This altered imitation was an excellent forgery, and I suggest that all collectors of 9X1 be on guard for similarly altered Sperati items.

As a footnote to this story of lot 1096, I would like to give these facts about its recent history. When I returned this item to the auction house, I wrote a letter giving full details as to why the stamp was bad and recommended that it be withdrawn from the forthcoming sale. In reply I received a letter from a person other than the man to whom I had written stating the firm had decided to offer the stamp (forgery) anyway and would suggest to the buyer that it be carefully examined. The sale came and went and I thought nothing more about the matter. Some time later a well known dealer telephoned me about another $5 \phi$ New York item, and during the course of conversation he mentioned purchasing on behalf of an important customer the lot described in these notes. When I explained its status to him, he stated he had no idea it was a Sperati and would have the buyer submit it to the Philatelic Foundation. This was done and ultimately the lot received a certificate stating that it was a Sperati imitation. Will this item be offered in the future by another party as a genuine stamp? Only time will tell.

Information wanted: Arthur J. Warmsley, 33 Riverview St., Portland, Conn. 06480, requests reports of the New Haven beehive fancy cancel 1839-40 period. Photocopies of covers and contents desired; costs reimbursed.

# McBRIDE STAMP AUCTIONS, INC. 

Bonded Auctioneers - Appraisers - City \& State Licensed
Phone: 503-235-7071
3630 S.E. 34th Ave. Box C Portland, Oregon 97202

## UNRESERVED PUBLIC AUCTION DECEMBER 7

## Send For Free Illustrated Catalog

Prices Realized Free With \#10 SASE
Consignments Of Fine Material Always Welcome


All off-centered, defective, heavily cancelled or pen cancelled stamps returned at owner's expense. Send SASE envelope for our complete BUY LIST (US \#1-133a, E1-13).

## J. RANDALL RARE STAMPS

900 S. Quince, Denver, Colo. 80231



US \#17 EXTREMELY FINESUPERB used with light, almost HEAD-FREE town cancel. Beautiful freshness, sharp impression \& large margins showing parts of two adjoining stamps @ top and left.
PF Cert\#81 985 (1980). A CHOICE 12¢ 1851 ISSUE! \$1,700.

What other CLASSIC United States (US \#9x1-133a) do you need in OUTSTANDING quality? Large stock of Classics emphasizing the IMPERFS in singles, pairs, multiples and plated position pieces. Most items with Philatelic Foundation certificates. Write or call with your needs and current items IN STOCK. Sent on 5 day approval with satisfactory philatelic references. Many "pedigree" items. When BUYING or SELLING quality, contact us at:

## J. RANDALL RARE STAMPS

USPCS APS
(303) 399-8004

THE 1847-51 PERIOD CREIGHTON C. HART, Editor

## DOMESTIC POSTAL RATES FOR THE 1847 ISSUE PERIOD: PART I <br> DUANE B. GARRETT, R.A. 1614

This is the first of a three article series dealing with the basic domestic postal rates applicable to the U.S. 1847 issue. This first article is devoted to an examination of the $5 \phi$ and $10 \phi$ rates and multiples thereof, the second will deal with the so-called "California" rates, and the third will cover the remaining rates such as those applicable to drop letters and way uses.

An examination of this subject, of course, must start with the underlying legal basis for the rates, "The Act of Congress of March 3, 1845," which stated in pertinent part:

For every single letter in manuscript or paper of any kind by or upon which information shall be asked or communicated in writing or by marks or signs, conveyed in the mail, for any distance under 300 miles, five cents; and for any distance over 300 miles, ten cents; and for a double letter there shall be charged double these rates; and for a treble letter, treble these rates; and for a quadruple letter, quadruple these rates; and every letter or parcel not exceeding half an ounce in weight shall be deemed a single letter, and every additional weight of half an ounce, shall be charged with an additional single postage. All drop letters, or letters placed in any post office, not for transmission through the mail but for delivery only, shall be charged with postage at the rate of two cents each.
This weight/distance rating system applied from July 1, 1845, and throughout the intervening period prior to the issuance of the $5 \phi$ and $10 \notin 1847 \mathrm{~s}$ on July 1, 1847, This colorful time saw the emergence of a number of "Postmaster Provisionals" issued in cities and towns as diverse as St. Louis, Mo., Alexandria, Va., Boscawen, N.H., and New York, N.Y. Surviving examples of such uses on covers can be used to illustrate many of the 1847 issue rates.


Figure 1. A diagonal bisect of the $10 ¢$ used to pay the $5 \phi$ rate from Concord, N.H., to Lower Bartleft, N.H., May 1, 1851. When their supply of $5 \boldsymbol{\$}$ stamps ran out, some enterprising patrons and postmasters unofficially halved' $10 ¢$ stamps.

At the outset, it should be noted that the Act of Congress of March 3, 1845 (and the companion Act of March 3, 1847, which authorized the furnishing of postage stamps by the Post Office) was as significant in what it omitted as what it included. No reference was made to mandatory prepayment of postage, much less to mandatory prepayment by stamps. Indeed, no incentive beyond convenience existed for prepayment by stamps and virtually all surviving examples of partial prepayment give proper credit for such payment. As a result, it has been estimated that fewer than one out of every hundred pieces of mail


Figure 2. This $5 \$$ pair paid the over 300 mile rate from Nashville, Tenn., to New York, N.Y., February $13,1851$. The large oval marking is an early advertising handstamp.
bore stamps during the four year period of the 1847 issue that began on July 1, 1847, and ended with demonetization after June 30, 1851, as fewer than five million 1847 issue stamps were ever sold. ${ }^{1}$

Figure 1 represents an interesting method of paying the $5 \not \subset$ under 300 miles, half-ounce or less rate, a $10 \phi$ bisect. This cover traveled well under 300 miles from Concord, N.H., to Lower Bartlett, N.H., and its small size indicates it clearly weighed under half an ounce. Such bisection was condoned throughout the 1847 issue period and over 75 undoubtedly genuine covers exist. ${ }^{2}$

Figure 2 is an example of the $10 \phi$ over 300 miles, half-ounce or less rate. The distance from Nashville, Tenn., to New York, N.Y. was well over 300 miles. A pair of $5 \not \subset$ stamps was used to pay the single rate for over 300 miles.


Figure 3. This embossed lady's cover from Philadelphia, Pa., to Northumberland, Pa., February 23, 1849, was Figure 3. This ombossed lady's cover from Philadolphia, Pa., to Northumberland, Pa., February 23, 1849, was local paid that firm's i\$ charge for delivery to the post office.

1. Henry L.C. Wenk, III, A Transcription of the Official Record Book of the Post Office Department July 1, 1847 to June 30, 1851, 1975.
2. Chronicle 63: 94-96.

Figure 3 is an interesting example of the double $5 \phi$ rate. This cover, which traveled about 125 miles to Northumberland, Pa., from Philadelphia, Pa., was rated "Paid 5, unpaid 5." The 5 5 postage due was collected from the recipient. This embossed envelope probably contained a valentine, which made it overweight.


Figure 4. This $5 \$$ block of four paid the $20 ¢$ double $10 ¢$ over 300 mile rate from Fredonia, N.Y., to Albany, N.Y. This is the only recorded block of four of the 1847 issue used on a domestically destined cover.

Figure 4 illustrates the double $10 \phi$ rate. This block of four of the $5 \phi$ originated at Fredonia, N.Y., and went to Albany, N.Y., a distance of slightly over 300 miles by the postal roads of the day, though less than 300 miles "as the crow flies."


Figure 5. This triple $10 \&$ rate cover went via the "U.S. Express Mail, Boston" from Boston, Mass., to Philadelphia, Pa., June 20, 1848.

Figure 5 represents a triple $10 \not \subset$ rate usage, to wit: over one ounce, but under $1 / 1 / 2$ ounces with over 300 miles between Boston, Mass, and Philadelphia, Pa. Such triple and other odd rates were possible only until March 15, 1849, when the United States eliminated such rates in order to conform with the rating system adopted by Great Britain with the following regulation implementing the Act of Congress of March 3, 1849:

Hereafter, when a letter exceeds an ounce in weight, but does not exceed two ounces, it will be rated with four charges of single postage; there being a single postage for the first half ounce, a double charge for the first ounce, and two additional charges for each succeeding, ounce, or fraction of an ounce, beyond the first ounce. . . .
Figure 6 illustrates a quadruple $5 \not \subset$ rate cover. This $10 \not \subset$ pair paid four times


Figure 6. This quadruple $5 ¢$ rafe cover was mailed from New Haven, Conn., to New York, N.Y., December 24, 1849.
the $5 \phi$ rate as the distance from New Haven, Conn., to New York, N.Y., was under 300 miles and the cover weighed just over one and one-half ounces.


Figure 7. This $5 \phi$ and $10 \phi$ combination cover was conveyed the short disfance from Rouse's Point, N.Y., to Plattsburgh, N.Y. and represents both a partial payment by stamps ("Due 54') and the post-March 15, 1849, abandonment of triple and other odd rates.

Figure 7 is a fine illustration of the prohibition on triple and other odd rates after March 15, 1849. This cover (with full original contents) weighs just over two ounces and was marked "Due $5 \phi$ " as this quintuple $5 \phi$ rate letter was rerated as a six times weight rate letter. The elimination of triple and other odd rates is a major reason for the scarcity of $5 \phi$ and $10 \phi$ combination covers.

I wish to acknowledge the invaluable assistance of Stephen Gronowski in the preparation of this series of articles.

## POSTAL HISTORY MATERIAL

> We specialize in covers of the world. We have a comprehensive stock of Confederate covers. In United States, we stock Trans-Atlantic, Registered, Special Delivery and other special usages. We usually have a few Territorials and Westerns, and we are strong in Hawaii.
> NEW ENGLAND STAMP
> 643 Fifth Avenue South
> Naples, Florida 33940 Tel. (813) $262-6226$

```
            THE 1851-61 PERIOD
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## SIR ROWLAND HILL



Figure 1. Unpaid stampless cover from Hudson, Ohio.
"Pat" Herst has submitted the cover shown as Figures 1 and 1a. The front shows a perfectly ordinary envelope with a Hudson, Ohio, townmark and a manuscript " 5 " for the unpaid rate to Youngstown. There is no enclosure or docketing to tell us the year of use.


Figure 1a. Reverse of cover in Figure 1, showing embossed medallion of Rowland Hill on flap.
The cover's remarkable feature is an embossed device on the flap reminiscent of Nesbitt stamped envelopes. At the top of the ornamental band surrounding the medallion are the words ROWLAND HILL, and at the bottom is the word FREE. What do we have here? Is this a British production? If so, did it serve any official function? How did it come into private American hands? Both Mr. Herst and the section editor would appreciate knowing the origin of this fascinating item.

## TRAVERSE DES SIOUX/PAID/MIN. TER.

This double circle townmark is listed on page 92 of USPM, and is illustrated on the facing page as tracing number 6. The marking was first reported in Chronicle $39: 7$ in 1961, and this was the report from which the USPM listing was made. At that time it was noted that the marking "ties the stamp on patriotic cover to Germany via Prussian Closed Mail," and that the stamp was a $30 \notin 1857$.

Prior to the time this listing was made Floyd E. Risvold heard that another cover existed, bearing this townmark tying a $3 \notin$ imperforate stamp (Scott No. 10 or 11). He could not persuade the owner to show him the cover.

Recently, a $30 \varnothing 1857$ tied to a piece of a patriotic cover with the marking has surfaced. Presumably this is the original item reported in Chronicle 39.

As a result of considerable detective work on the part of Mr. Risvold and the cooperation of the present owner of the piece, it has been determined by the Philatelic Foundation that the marking is bogus; that is, no such official marking ever existed. Please delete the USPM listing on pages 92-3.

Does one of our members own the $3 \phi$ cover? If so, it is hoped he or she will consider a donation to the SCRAP Program.


## PAID/3

Illustrated here is an off cover example of a $3 \notin 1851$ stamp (S2) containing PAID / 3 in a shield. The marking is struck in blue and the owner, Robert B. Meyersburg, reports that the stamp is an 1855 impression. This handstamp has not been previously reported. Do any of our other members have additional examples? If a strike on cover can be turned up, it will be listed in a future addendum to USPM.

## EARLIEST RECORDED USE OF THE $3 \phi 1857$ PLATE 26

## ROBERT R. HEGLAND

A cover with positions $4-6$ R 26 has recently been found that advances the earliest recorded date of use of the $3 \phi 1857$ Plate 26 from January 21, 1860, to October 3, 1859.

Formerly, the earliest recorded dates of use of plates 23-27 ranged from July 7 to September 2, 1859, except for Plate $26 .{ }^{1}$ This led to the expectation that we would find an earlier use of this plate since it seems reasonable to assume that the plates were usually put into production sequentially as they were numbered. Since Plate 27 is known used as early as September 2, this collector suspects that October 3 will not long stand as the earliest date once our members start looking for an earlier date. We should be able to find one in September or perhaps in August.

The October 3 cover is confirmed in several ways. The strip is clearly tied by two strikes of the black BUFFALO/OCT/3/1859/N.Y. cds. It is annotated as "Filed Oct 4 1859/Opened in open Court." The stamps are readily identified since 4R26 has the damaged lower left rosette ${ }^{2}$ and there is a very small "wishbone crack" between 5-6R26. The hairlines and other fine lines on the stamps are obviously of a very early impression from the plate as they show no wear.

[^2]
## THE TEN CENT GREENS OF 1855-57

FRANK S. LEVI, JR.
For three decades I have been involved in the study and research of the ten cent green stamps of 1855-57, Plate I, Types I-IV. During that time I have been amazed at the pricing structure as formulated by Scott. Their pricing defies all logic.

Let us examine the Type distribution on Plate I. Every time the press cranked out a sheet of 200 stamps there were 20 Type I, 93 Type II, 79 Type III and eight Type IV spread throughout the two panes. In theory, for every random selection of 100 stamps there should be four Type IV, 10 Type I, 391/2 Type III and 46/1/2 Type II. Thus, in theory a Type IV should be two and one half times as scarce as a Type I, a Type III should be about one tenth as scarce as a Type IV and the most common, Type II, should be about $11 / \frac{1}{2}$ times more common than a Type IV. For a better visual understanding see Table I.

TABLE: I: Plate I Distribution

| Type | Quantity | Percent of total | Scarcity Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 20 | 10.0 | 4.850 |
| II | 93 | 46.5 | 1.000 |
| III | 79 | 39.5 | 1.228 |
| IV | 8 | 4.0 | 12.125 |
| Total | 200 | 100 |  |

In short, if we apply the scarcity factor above, and use the most common type (II) as a base, we can work out a realistic pricing structure. Thus, if a Type II were priced at say $\$ 10.00$ the Type III should be $\$ 12.28$, the Type I should be $\$ 48.50$ and the Type IV would come in at $\$ 121.25$.

Now let us take a look at what actually has taken place over the past thirty years. Table II is taken from the Scott Specialized Catalogs and lists the prices for used stamps only. The first thing that strikes me is that in the imperforate stamps from 1951 through 1976 a Type II was always equal in value to a Type III. In the last four years the Type II is higher in value than the Type III. The perforated stamp pricing is even stranger-from 1969 through 1976 both types were priced the same. For all the other years the Type II was

| TABLE II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#13 | \#14 | \#15 | \#16 | \#31 | \#32 | \#33 | \#34 |
| year | type I | type II | type III | type IV | type I | type II | type III | type IV |
| 1951 | 65.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 120.00 | 60.00 | 11.00 | 9.00 | 100.00 |
| 1952 | 65.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 120.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 110.00 |
| 1953 | 65.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 120.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 110.00 |
| 1954 | 65.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 120.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 110.00 |
| 1955 | 65.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 120.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 110.00 |
| 1956 | 65.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 120.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 110.00 |
| 1957 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 135.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 110.00 |
| 1958 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 135.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 110.00 |
| 1959 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 135.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 110.00 |
| 1960 | 85.00 | 22.50 | 22.50 | 135.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 110.00 |
| 1961 | 85.00 | 22.50 | 22.50 | 140.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 110.00 |
| 1962 | 100.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 150.00 | 65.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 110.00 |
| 1963 | 100.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 150.00 | 67.50 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 110.00 |
| 1964 | 110.00 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 160.00 | 70.00 | 13.00 | 11.00 | 115.00 |
| 1965 | 120.00 | 27.50 | 27.50 | 170.00 | 75.00 | 14.00 | 12.00 | 120.00 |
| 1966 | 135.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 190.00 | 85.00 | 16.00 | 14.00 | 135.00 |
| 1967 | 150.00 | 32.50 | 32.50 | 200.00 | 90.00 | 18.00 | 16.00 | 145.00 |
| 1968 | 160.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 225.00 | 100.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 160.00 |
| 1969 | 175.00 | 37.50 | 37.50 | 250.00 | 115.00 | 22.50 | 22.50 | 180.00 |
| 1970 | 190.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 250.00 | 125.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 190.00 |
| 1971 | 200.00 | 42.50 | 42.50 | 300.00 | 135.00 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 200.00 |
| 1972 | 225.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 325.00 | 135.00 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 225.00 |
| 1973 | 225.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 325.00 | 135.00 | 27.50 | 27.50 | 250.00 |
| 1974 | 225.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 375.00 | 135.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 275.00 |
| 1975 | 250.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 425.00 | 150.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 325.00 |
| 1976 | 275.00 | 70.00 | 70.00 | 450.00 | 150.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 375.00 |
| 1977 | 275.00 | 85.00 | 80.00 | 525.00 | 175.00 | 45.00 | 40.00 | 400.00 |
| 1978 | 325.00 | 110.00 | 100.00 | 625.00 | 200.00 | 55.00 | 50.00 | 450.00 |
| 1979 | 375.00 | 140.00 | 125.00 | 725.00 | 250.00 | 70.00 | 65.00 | 550.00 |
| 1980 | 450.00 | 175.00 | 165.00 | 900.00 | 300.00 | 85.00 | 80.00 | 700.00 |

priced higher than the Type III. Never in this thirty year period was a Type III priced higher than a Type II. A comparison of the pricing relationship between a Type I and a Type IV on the imperforates is consistently under 1 to 2 except for the 1980 listing. In the perforated stamps from 1951 through 1973 the ratio is always under 1 to 2 ; from 1974 thru 1980 it is always greater than 1 to 2. The relationship between the Type II \& Type III vs. the Type I \& Type IV is so inconsistent that it is unbelieveable.

I realize that there are many factors other than rarity that will ultimately determine pricing. Popularity of a stamp or issue. Speculation. Promotion of a phase of collecting that has been neglected. Interest in a stamp or issue stimulated by publication of new information. But none of these reasons appear to me to apply in the case of the ten cent greens. Can anyone out there come up with a rational explanation?

## 1857 SPECIMENS

At Chronicle 95:164 Stanley M. Piller summarized what is known about the 1851-61 U.S. postage stamps overprinted "Specimen." In that article he illustrated most of the varieties listed in Scott's Specialized Catalogue, and asked for help from our members in completing the list of illustrations.

Through the courtesy of Andrew Levitt, Mr. Piller has now been able to photograph examples of the $5 \phi, 12 \phi, 24 \phi$ and $30 \phi$ denominations, shown here. We are still missing Scott numbers 75A, 115A and 215A to make the record complete.


Figure 1. "Specimen" on 54.


Figure 3. "Specimen" on 24\%.


Figure 2. "Specimen" on 12


Figure 4. "Specimen" on 304 .

# NEW YORK TO PANAMA SHIP SAILINGS 

## STANLEY B. ASHBROOK

(Continued from Chronicle 107:177)
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 12, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO, DIRECT, VIA THE STRAITS OF MAGELLAN. The new and fast sailing coppered and copper fastened clipper-built Schooner Sea Witch, will sail for above place with despatch, offering an excellent opportunity for eight cabin passengers. She has an experienced navigator. The number of passengers limited. Apply to M. Rogers \& Co., No. 27 Fulton Market.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 29, 1849. [This is obviously change of departure date]. Sea Witch and Empire will sail on Feb. 1st, 1849.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 14, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Ship Elizabeth Allen will succeed the Tomaroo and sail with dispatch. Apply to Capt. on board Pier 13 E.R. or E. Richardson \& Co., 52 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 24, 1849. Ship Elizaheth Allen will sail on 1st of Feb. next. N.Y. Herald, Feb. 11, 1849. Elizabeth Allen will sail on Wed. 14th inst. for S.F.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 15, 1849. Clipper Barque Azim, will sail positively on Sat. 20th inst. Apply to Ezra Bucknam, or Francis Leland, 243 South St. or 55 Water St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 16, 1849. Brig Georgiana will sail on Wed. 17th. Agents, W. Baker, 165 Maiden Lane.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 19, 1849. The new Clipper-built Schooner John R. Whiting, will sail on Jan. 25th. Apply to Capt. on board at foot of Jefferson St. E.R.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 22, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO, to sail Feb. 1st, 1849, the Bark Galindo. Apply to F. V. Anslie, 150 Front St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 22, 1849. The fast sailing copper fastened Bark Griffon will leave for San Francisco on or before the 1st of Feb.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 23, 1849. FOR CALIF \& THE GOLD REGIONS. A-1 New York-built Ship H. Harbeck, will sail for S.F. about the 1st of Feb. Apply Olney \& Cotrell, 62 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 24, 1849. Bark Eliza to sail on Jan. 25th. Apply to Capt. on board, foot of Pike St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 24, 1849. FOR CALIF. Baltimore Clipper Schooner Laura Virginia will clear this day and sail tomorrow. S.H. Ackerman, 89 Beaver St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 24, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO to sail 15 th of Feb. The new Schooner James L. Day, James S. Nash, Master, will sail as above. She will be apportioned in 10 shares of $\$ 1,000$ each. It is intended to carry out a small steamer for the navigation of the Sacramento River. For further particulars, Coles \& Thorn, 91 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 28, 1849. FOR THE GOLD REGIONS. The fine Brig Augusta will sail for San Francisco, with despatch or as soon as a select number of passengers are procured. Augusta is 150 tons burthen, 14 months old, copper fastened and coppered within and commanded by an experienced master. Geo. W. Pentz, 51 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 29, 1849. FOR CALIF. Ship Courier, ready to receive passengers for the Gold Regions. Apply to Schoyer \& Co., 82 Wall St. N.Y. Herald, Feb. 6, 1849. Ship Courier will sail on the 15th of Feb.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 5, 1849. Clipper-built Ship Helena will have immediate despatch. [Contains data on speed and reliability of ship for S.F..] Apply to N. L. \& G. Griswold, 71 and 72 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 6, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. A-1 Clipper-built Ship Helena, for immediate despatch. N.L. \& G. Griswold, 71 \& 72 So. St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 5, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO to sail on the 25 th, S.S. Senator, to run as a packet between St. John, N.B. and Boston, where she has encountered such weather as to establish her reputation as an excellent sea boat. Apply to Woodhull \& Minturn, 87 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 19, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. The new fast sailing Ship, Senator, will have quick despatch. Apply to Slate, Gardiner \& Howell, 114 South St. N.Y. Herald, Oct. 31, 1849. Ship Senator will sail on or about the 10th proximo for S.F., Calif.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 5, 1849. FOR CALIFORNIA. A-1 Ship Flavius, will sail on the 15th of Feb., for the Gold Regions. E. B. Sutton, 117 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 5, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Bark Toulon, will sail positively on Sat. the 10th. J. Henry Williams, 119 Front St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 5, 1849. Bark Ann Welsh, Pier 20 E.R., to sail on this morning. (Monday at 9:30 A.M.).
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 6, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO UP TO THE SACRAMENTO RIVER VIA STRAITS OF MAGELLAN. S.S. Duncan C. Fell, (formerly the Gov't steamer Poincett). Apply to I. H. Gager, 120 Wall St., or Jos. T. Martin, 37 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 6, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Schooner Samuel M. Fox, will sail about the 22nd inst. Apply to F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 4, 1849. Schooner S.M. Fox to leave for Calif. direct on Mar. 6th.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 7, 1849. FOR CHAGRES DIRECT by A-1 Brig Adila to sail the 20th inst. Clarke \& Co., 121 Water St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 10, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO \& SUTTER'S FORT VIA STRAITS OF MAGELLAN. Baltimore Clipper Brig Orbit, sails between 15 th and 20th inst. Apply to Finchley, 76 Maiden Lane.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 17, 1849. FOR CALIF. DIRECT, SAN FRANCISCO \& SUTTER'S FORT. Clipper Brig Orbit to sail on or about 20th or 25th of Feb. Jas. A. Van Brunt, 75 So. St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 24, 1849. Baltimore Clipper Brig Orbit to leave on Feb. 26th for San Francisco.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 2nd, 1849. Baltimore Clipper Brig Orbit will sail on Mar. 5th for San Francisco.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 29, 1849. Regular Packet Line for Nov. 15th. For San Francisco by A-No. 1 Brig Orbit to sail on Nov. 15th. Apply to F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Dec. 7, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Clipper Brig Orbit to sail on Dec. 10th F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St. Change of sailing date for S.S. Philadelphia from Dec. 11th to Dec. 18th, 10 A.M.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 10, 1849. Packet Ship John G. Coster, to sail on Feb. 20th. Howland \& Aspinwall.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 21, 1849. Packet Ship John G. Coster to sail positively on 24th. Howland \& Aspinwall, 54 \& 56 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 15, 1849. Bark Guilford, to sail on Feb. 16th. John \& Robert Osborn, 111 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 17, 1849. Packet Bark, Bogota to sail on Feb. 20th, 1849. Everett \& Brown, 68 So. St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 17, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO, TOUCHING AT RIO JANEIRO \& VALPARAISO. First class Schooner Union to sail on or about Mar. 10th. Jung \& Behrmann, 21 So. William St. or Benschen \& Unkart, 17 So. William St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 21, 1849. Packet Schooner Splendid to sail on Feb. 24th. Foster \& Nickerson, 25 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 21, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Ship Louise \& Caroline to be despatched on or about middle of March.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 22, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO, DIRECT. Bark Rising Sun, being one of the fastest out of the United States, and passengers may rely upon reaching San Francisco in advance of any other vessel sailing during the month of Feb. [no sailing date]. Isaac T. Smith, 101 Wall.
N.Y. Herald, Apr. 7, 1849. FOR CALIFORNIA. Bark Rising Sun to leave this morning. Isaac T. Smith, 101 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 22, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Clipper-built Schooner General Worth to sail on or about the 1st of March. N.L. McReady \& Co. 36 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 2, 1849. Clipper-built Schooner General Worth to leave Mar. 6th. McCready \& Co.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 29, 1849. Clipper General Worth to leave Apr. 2nd. Krider \& Mallett, 27 Old Slip.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 23, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Bark Susan to leave on Mar. 1st. [Name of agent indistinct]. 94 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 24, 1849. Bark Clyde to leave on Mar. 8th. Holdrege Jr., 93 Wall St.

FOR CALIF., N.Y. Herald, Mar. 20, 1849, Despatch Line, Departure date-25th of Mar., Bark Clyde. Schoyer \& Co., 82 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Apr, 17, 1849. Despatch Line announces the departure of Bark Clyde on Apr. 19, 1849. H. Holdredge Jr., 93 Wall St. Change of dates: N.Y. Herald, Apr. 19, Clyde will sail on Sat. 21st. N.Y. Herald, Apr. 22, Bark Clyde will sail on Monday noon precisely.
N.Y. Herald, Feb. 25, 1849. Bark Palmetto to leave on Feb. 27, 1849. Nesmith \& Walsh, 27 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 2, 1849. S.S. Huron to sail on or about 20th of Mar. for S.F. via Straits of Magellan; Austin \& Watkins, 8 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 5, 1849. FOR CALIF. Brig Robert to sail Mar. 8th. Note: N.Y. Herald, Mar. 9, Fri. Brig Robert will sail Mar. 12th.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 15, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Ship Angelizue to have immedate dispatch. E. Richardson \& Co., 52 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 19, 1849. Bark Santel to leave on Mar. 20th, at 9 A.M. Starks W. Lewis, 24 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Mar. 21, 1849. Ship Balance to leave on Mar. 24th. Cook \& Smith, 110 Wall St.

FOR CALIF. TOUCHING AT RIO DE JANEIRO \& VALPARAISO. N.Y. Herald, Apr. 8, 1849. Announcement of Liverpool packet ship Susan G. Owens for S.F. to sail on Apr. 14th. Agents, Buffum \& Handy, 112 B'way. N.Y. Herald Apr. 16. The Susan G. Owens will positively sail on the 18th of Apr.
N.Y. Herald, Apr. 18, 1849. Announcing the departure of Packet Ship Susan G. Owens on the 21 st of Apr. For San Francisco direct, touching at Rio Janeiro \& Valparaiso. Buffum \& Hardy, 112 B'way.
N.Y. Herald, Apr. 21, 1849. The Liverpool packet ship will not sail until Mon. morning Apr. 23rd., 1849. N.Y. Herald, Apr. 24, 1849. Susan G. Owens being unavoidably detained will not sail until Apr. 25th.
N.Y. Herald, May 1, 1849. Announcement of the sailing of Packet Ship Susan G. Owens positively on Thurs. May 3rd.
N.Y. Herald, Apr. 21, 1849. Announces the departure of Bark Alice Tarlton in a few days for S.F. Isaac T. Smith, 101 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, May 11, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Bark Alice Tarlton on May 12th for S.F. Agents, Isaac T. Smith, 101 Wall St.

CHANGE OF SAILING DATE FOR BARK ALICE TARLETON. To sail on May 19th for S.F. as per N.Y. Herald of May 18th, 1849. [NOTE: Spelling of "Tarleton" and in other advertisements "Tarlton".]
N.Y. Herald, Apr. 24, 1849. Announces departure of Packet Bark Tecumseh on the 16 th of May for S.F., direct. Agents, John Collins, Jr., 56 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Apr. 25, 1849. Announcing the Brig Casco for S.F., now being ready to receive her cargo. Badger Peck \& Co., cor. Wall \& South St.
N.Y. Herald, May 3, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Ship Robert Fulton on Sunday next (6th for S.F.) Apply to Capt. on board Pier 8, N.R.
N.Y. Herald, May 5, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Ship Probus for San Francisco on or about the 15 th inst. positively. Agents: E.B. Sutton, 84 Wall St.-John Ogden, 116 Wall St.

Note: CHANGE OF SAILING DATE FOR "PROBUS" N.Y. Herald, May 12, 1849. Sailing of Ship Probus for S.F. on or about the 20th inst. N.Y. Herald May 19, Ship Probus will sail on or about 25th inst. N.Y. Herald, May 28, Ship Probus will sail on June 2nd.
N.Y. Herald, June 2, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Ship Probus on the 9th of June (having been detained by the storm) for Calif. via Valparaiso. Agents, E. B. Sutton, 84 Wall St. CHANGE OF SAILING DATE. N.Y. Herald, June 14, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Ship Probus on 16th instead of 9th.
N.Y. Herald, May 16, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Bark Philena before the 15th of June for San Francisco via Rio de Janeiro, \& Valparaiso. Agents: F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St. N.Y. Herald, June 13. A change of sailing date for Bark Philena, to sail on the 20th of June for Calif. N.Y. Herald, June 23, 1849. Philena to sail on June 26th.
N.Y. Herald, June 29, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Bark Philena on July 2nd. N.Y. Herald, July 7, Philena to sail on 9th of July, in the morning.
N.Y. Herald, May 23, 1849. Announcing the immediate dispatch of Packet Ship Prince de Joinville for S.F. Isaac T. Smith, 101 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, June 22, 1849. Announcing the sailing of N.Y. built Packet Ship Prince de Joinville on the 25th of June for S.F., touching at Valparaiso. Agents, Isaac T. Smith, 101 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, June 16, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Ship Queen Victoria about 1st of July for S.F. N.Y. Herald, July 7, 1849. Ship Queen Victoria to sail on the 15th of July. F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, July 3, 1849. Announcing the sailing of ship Oceanus, on the 10th, for Calif. and also Ship Manilla on the 15th for Calif.
N.Y. Herald, July 10, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Baltimore Clipper-built Bark Charter Oak on July 14th for S.F. J.B. Gager, 120 Wall.
N.Y. Herald, July 14, 1849. Announcing of the sailing of A-No. 1 Bark Ohio on the 1st of Aug. for S.F. F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, July 31, 1849. Announces the sailing of Bark Ohio on Aug. 5th for S.F. F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Aug. 14, 1849. Announces the sailing of Bark Ohio on the 20th of Aug. for S.F.
N.Y. Herald, July 17, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Packet Ship Utica on the 20th of July for S.F.
N.Y. Herald, July 18, 1849. Announcing the sailing of Ship Mechanics on the 1st of Aug. for S.F.
N.Y. Herald, Aug. 21, 1849. FOR CALIF. Sailing of Ship Hercules about the 1st of Oct. James Bergon, 92 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Sept. 7, 1849. FOR CALIF. to sail on the 20th, the fine Ship Russell. E. R. Sutton, 84 Wall St. Change of sailing date. N.Y. Herald, Sept. 22, 1849. For California. Dispatch Line. Ship Russell will positively sail on the 29th inst. Can take 300 barrels of freight, if applied to at once. Shippers send in their bills of lading, at Pier 5, N.R. E.B. Sutton, 84 Wall St. Ship Cygnet will follow.
N.Y. Herald, Sept. 19, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO \& BENICIA, CALIF. Announcing the departure of A-1 ship Sartelle, on Oct. 20th. F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Sept. 29, 1849. FOR CALIF. Dispatch Line [note their two different ways of spelling "Despatch \& Dispatch"] Ship Hampden having her cargo partly engaged will have quick dispatch for the Gold Regions Freight at lowest rates. E.B. Sutton, 84 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 25, 1849. Ship Hampden, for Calif. Passengers will be on board at Pier 39 N.R. on 26th inst. 12 o'clock M.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 1st, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO DIRECT. Announcing the departure of the fast sailing Schooner Pacific, on or about the 15th of Oct. This schooner is capable of running up the Sacramento. Apply to Rose \& Butler, 118 South St.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 2, 1849. FOR ACAPULCO. To sail on Oct. 8th, the coppered and copper fastened ship Cremona. Those intending to proceed to Calif. will find this a most desirable conveyance. J. McMurray, cor. of Pine \& South Sts.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 5, 1849. Regular Packet Line for San Francisco \& Benicia, Cal. St. Mary's to sail on November 1st. Apply to F. \& D. Fowler, 86 Wall St. or A.A. Warner, 76 Fulton St. Will be succeeded by a new schooner ship. N.Y. Herald, Oct. 30, 1849: Change of date in sailing. A-No. 1 Packet Ship St. Mary's will sail on the 5th of Nov.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 20, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO VIA THE STRAITS OF MAGELLAN. The new chlipper-built coppered and copper fastened Schooner Queen of the West will positively sail Oct. 29th, built by the same builder of Sea Witch which performed the passage in 112 days. This is believed to be the quickest passage ever made by any sailing craft. M. Rogers \& Co., 27 Fulton Market.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 26, 1849. Ship Washington will sail on Nov. 1st for S.F. Apply to Cunningham \& Osborne, 103 Water St.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 27, 1849. FOR CALIF.-SAN FRANCISCO, BENICIA \& N.Y. Via RIO DE JANEIRO \& VALPARAISO per S.S. Eudora to sail for the above ports on Nov. 5th. Apply to Renauld \& Francois, 21 Beaver St. N.Y. Herald, Nov. 6, 1849. Above S.S. to sail for Calif. etc. on the 10th inst. at 2 P.M.
N.Y. Herald, Jan. 26, 1849. FOR CHAGRES. Brig Eudora to sail on the 29th of Jan. with possible arrangements to forward the whole Co. to S.F. without delay. Apply on board or Wm. S. R. Taylor, Pier \#6 E.R.
N.Y. Herald, Dec. 1, 1849. Steamer Eudora for Calif. to leave soon. Sam'l W. Thompson, 74 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Oct. 31, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO by A-No. 1. Packet Ship Francis Depau to sail on Nov. 20th. Sam. P. Robinson, 59 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Dec. 23, 1849. GOLD REGION-A rare chance is now offered to persons going to Calif. The splendid Packet Ship Francis Depau [note below] will sail in a few days. Apply on board Pier 11, N.R. or J. Belknap Smith, 102 B'way. [Note: Previously the boat was spelled "Depau" this time as above.]
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 2, 1849. Ship Palestine for quick despatch [no date given]. Apply to E. B. Sutton, or James Smith, 116 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 9, 1849. FOR MONTEREY (Calif) DIRECT; by Brig Emma Prescott to sail on Nov. 15th. Russell \& Norton, 31 Old Slip.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 17, 1849. Brig Emma Prescott will sail on the 20th inst. for Monterey, Calif. Russell \& Norton, 31 Old Slip. Change of sailing date, for above. To sail on the 27th instead.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 11, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. The clipper-built Brig Reindeer to leave on the 12th or 13th inst. This vessel is unsurpassed for sailing qualities. F. \& D. Fowler, 86 West St.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 16, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO 20th of Nov. per Schooner Lambert Snydam to reach S.F. Mar. next. Lambert Snydam, Supercargo.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 16, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Immediate despatch on New York-built Ship Russell Glover. Apply to H. Holdrege Jr., 93 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Nov. 26, 1849. FOR CALIF. Despatch Line. The splendid new ship Monterey 600 tons, will have quick despatch for the gold regions, [data on accommodations etc. eliminated] Apply to E. B. Sutton, 84 Wall St.
N.Y. Herald, Dec. 4, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO VIA RIO DE JANEIRO, VALPARAISO \& PANAMA. The new S.S. Gold Hunter, having two powerful engines, Kenney Couillard Comd., will be despatched as above on the 10th Dec. and now lies at the foot of Grant St. E.R. This S.S. has just been built in the most thorough manner under the superintendence of Capt. Wm. Skiddy, and offers superior accommodations, etc. Apply to David Brooks \& Co., 68 Broad St.
N.Y. Herald, Dec. 6, 1849. FOR SAN FRANCISCO. Brig Rochester to sail on the 7th of Dec. Apply on board at Pier No. 11 E.R. Old Slip.
N.Y. Herald, Dec. 9, 1849. FOR CALIF. Schooner Margaret Hopping during this week. Apply to E. Ruckman, Washington Market Slip.

FOR CALIF. N.Y. Herald, Dec. 10, 1849, Dispatch Line, Departure date-soon, First Class Ship Adirondack.
(To be continued)
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# THE 1861-69 PERIOD <br> RICHARD B. GRAHAM, Editor <br> WILLIAM K. HERZOG, Assoc. Editor 

## 2 $\phi$ "FRANCIS PATENT" STAMP ON COVER <br> WILIIAM K. HERZOG

A limited number of the $2 \phi$ and $3 \not \subset 1861$ stamps were produced under the "Francis Patent" process. Basically, this patent (No. 48389, to Samuel Ward Francis of New York City, on June 27, 1865) called for the chemical treatment of the postage stamp paper with a solution of sulphate of iron before the printing and gumming. When a stamp, so prepared, reached the post office, a finger glove or sponge treated with a solution of nut-galls would come in contact with it, and cause a blue-stain cancel through the chemical reaction.

According to Luff, under the heading "Francis Patent Stamps" ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

... The patent was granted to Dr. S. W. Francis of New York City, and its principal features were soaking the paper in an alkaline fluid, which turned it brown, and cancellation by means of a small sponge, saturated with some acid and attached to the thumb. A touch of this sponge, when handling the letters, would obliterate the stamp. On all the cancelled copies which I have seen the color of the paper has been turned to a deep blue.

By order of the Third Assistant Postmaster General the National Bank Note Co. prepared 10,000 of these stamps. As the Post Office Department wished a report from a postmaster upon the practicability of the invention, some of the stamps were sent to Newport, R.I., for experiment. Under the date of March 30th, 1865, the postmaster at Newport wrote to Dr. Francis: "I have this day personally tested your method of cancelling postage stamps. After thorough and systematic experiments, I feel it due you to certify hereby to the valuableness of your invention. I shall communicate with the Hon. Third Assistant Postmaster General of my experiments."




Figure 1. A 24 "Francis Patent" stamp (cancelled by blue stain) used on cover mailed from Newport, R.I., on March 31, 1865. Photo courtesy of the Philatelic Foundation.

Through the courtesy of the Philatelic Foundation, who provided the photograph of an item in the Luff Reference Collection, we can now illustrate as Figure 1 a cover franked by a $2 \phi$ "Francis Patent" stamp. The stamp is cancelled by a blue stain, and the cover is postmarked "NEWPORT, R. I., MAR. 31, '65'. It is addressed to Saml. W. Francis at New York just one day after the date of the message alluded to above by Luff.

I do not pretend to know if this item actually went through the mail in 1865, if it was made up as an experiment in 1865, or if it is a fabrication; however, it is potentially, as an on-cover use, one of the most interesting items for stamp reuse prevention that this writer has viewed. In this regard, it was most

[^3]gracious of the Philatelic Foundation to provide at my request the photograph for the perusal of our readership.

## 3£ 1861 USES: LET'S TAKE A CLOSER LOOK ABE BOYARSKY

At first glance, Figures 1, 2, and 3 appear to be three ordinary covers that are franked with the $3 \phi 1861$. Upon closer examination, however, they reveal some interesting uses.


Figure 1 shows that the envelope was mailed from Williamsport, Pa., on November 16, 1864, to Brooklyn, N.Y. At Brooklyn another $3 \not \subset 1861$ was placed almost perfectly over the first stamp on November 18, 1864, and then sent on its way to Lloyd's Neck, Long Island.


Figure la. Enlargement showing lower sfamp.

Figure la is an enlargement of the two stamps with the top stamp folded over so that both can be seen. The bottom stamp just ties with a 17.5 mm . four-ring, concentric-circle cancellation. The top stamp is tied by a large cork cancel so often associated with Brooklyn.

## Figure 2. Forwarded cover bearing two

 $4 /(6)$Figure 2 is a cover sent from Cambridge, Mass., on December 5, 1864, to Springfield, Mass. At Springfield on December 7, 1864, another $3 \phi 1861$ was affixed and the cover was forwarded on its way to New Haven, Conn.

## Figure 2a. Enlargement showing second sfamp below.



Figure 2a shows both stamps. The bottom stamp has a partial grid cancel, while the top stamp is tied by the Springfield townmark and cork cancel.


Figure 3. Cover with 361861 placed over Invalid $3 \$ 1857$.

The cover in Figure 3 has a South Glastenbury, Ct. townmark tying a $3 \phi$ 1861, which is an early shade of Rose Pink (Scott No. 64b) that was used in the latter part of 1861.

Figure 3a. Enlargement showing demonetized stamp below.


In Figure 3a both stamps can be seen. Since the bottom stamp was demonetized, it is not cancelled.

## GRIFFITH'S BADGER STATE BATTERY AT NEW MADRID, MISSOURI, 1862

## RICHARD B. GRAHAM

Some years ago the cover illustrated with these notes was shown us by Mr. Arthur Van Vlissingen, who had formed a particularly fine collection of Civil War patriotic covers among his Wisconsin postal history material.

The cover bears a rather "routine" patriotic design in red, white and blue (Walcott 2249), but a legend, "BADGER STATE BATTERY, CAPT. R. R. GRIFFITH." adds a Wisconsin motif of considerable appeal to the design. Of universal interest to the postal historian, especially one with a strong affinity for the Civil War, is the fact the cover apparently bears two different postmarks, a manuscript "New Madrid, Mo./25 Apl.," and a handstamped Cairo, Ill., c.d.s. of Apr. 29, 1862. The cover is addressed to Little Prairie, Wisconsin, and franked with a $3 \phi 1861$ stamp, tied by both postmarks.

The query with the cover was why the two postmarks, but, to the writer, identifying the unit from which the cover came also offered an enjoyable challenge as well as possibly a good story.


Patriotic cover mailed at Now Madrid, Mo., by member of "Badger State Battery."
To properly explain the cover, a look at what was going on around New Madrid, Missouri, in the spring of 1862 seems useful. New Madrid is located on the Mississippi River in extreme southern Missouri, just above and across from the Kentucky-Tennessee state line. The town's main claim to fame is that it was the center of the great "New Madrid earthquake" of the early 1800s, which changed the course of the Mississippi River into a huge reverse bend (the "Madrid Bend"), creating Reelfoot Lake in the process, and wiping out the town of New Madrid as it then existed. In the spring of 1862, the Federal forces were attempting to push down the Mississippi River from the north, and it was at this time that New Madrid had its moment in the limelight. The Confederates had established a line of defense across southern Kentucky and Missouri, and New Madrid was an anchor of this line on the west bank of the river, together with fortifications on Island No. 10 in the Madrid Bend and also along the east bank of the river. The sketch map with these notes shows the salient features.

The Federal attack was a joint army and navy affair, the army under General John Pope and the navy under Flag Office Andrew H. Foote. In March of 1862, Pope slipped by the Confederates on the west bank and took Point Pleasant, Missouri, below New Madrid. Thus outflanked, the Confederates evacuated the town, going across the river and reinforcing Island No. 10 in the New Madrid

Bend. This all created a very strong position against the Federal gunboats lying in the "Seven Mile Reach" above the Madrid Bend.

General Pope then made New Madrid his headquarters, bringing some ten thousand troops, including three Wisconsin Independent Light Artillery Batteries, into the area around the town to protect against any unexpected attacks from Confederate troops in Arkansas.


Map of New Madrid area and positions held by Federal and Confederate forces.
Before the war-and also after the town was no longer a military center in 1862-the postmaster at New Madrid ("courthouse" of New Madrid County) was one William J. Denhart, who had received some $\$ 200$ in compensation for his efforts in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1861. This is about normal for a country town located on a large river with steamboat traffic in the era before the war. No doubt Denhart was able to handle this quite nicely with the help of a few clerks as required on "mail day." Picture, however, the situation of such a country post office suddenly flooded with letters from over 10,000 troops in the area-most young men more than thirty miles away from home for the first time in their lives in late 1861. Obviously, Postmaster Denhart of New Madrid would have needed help, and he undoubtedly got it from the army Quartermaster Department. The army was only then in 1862 learning to handle its own mails by making it a Quartermaster Department responsibility to take them to and from designated postal centers with the capacity to handle them. In the east, this center was Washington, and in the west it was Cairo. Both offices evidently had "military sections" provided to handle soldier mails. In addition, the Post Office Department provided guidance and some supervision in the form of Special Agents of the Department travelling with the army headquarters. This didn't all happen at once, and in early 1862 it was just being developed.

Thus, we have a clue for the reason for the two postmarks. The manuscript marking was probably applied by a Quartermaster clerk assigned to postal duty and the Cairo marking was applied when the letter was delivered by the army to Cairo, at the mouth of the Ohio River where it runs into the Mississippi, some four days later. Whether the Quartermaster clerk was working in the New Madrid post office is anyone's guess, but this cover may well be a "landmark"
indicating the beginning division of army and civilian mails. As the war progressed, similar double postmarking was seldom seen. The army delivered mails to the central post office facilities set up for the purpose, and the postmarks were applied there. If the army and the Special Agent of the Post Office Department felt it would expedite matters, post offices in large cities were reopened and operated by the Special Agents and the Quartermasters. Nashville and Memphis are examples of this in the west. This writer has seen almost no civilian mails from the occupied towns which were reopened, for the simple reason that army orders in such cases usually forbade the acceptance of any but letters from the troops or other Federal personnel on official business.

The writer had considerable trouble identifying the exact Wisconsin battery from which the cover described here emanated. Independent batteries were usually known by two or even three different designations in the Civil War. First, there was the "official" designation, consisting of a number and state name, such as "7th Wisconsin Independent Battery." But batteries, especially in battle reports and letters, were often called by the name of their commander or organizer, such as "Griffith's Light Artillery." Lastly, such units were usually organized under some descriptive or fanciful name, such as on this letter, the "Badger State Battery." In this case, we have two of the three more or less interchangeable names given, only the numerical designation being missing. By looking at lists of military Civil War "synonyms" it is found that the 3rd Wisconsin Battery was called the "Badger Battery," but their history shows they were at Nashville during the period being considered. The 5th Wisconsin, the 6th (the "Buena Vista" battery) and the 7th (the "Badger State Flying Artillery") were all at New Madrid from about March 19, 1862, through May or June. We also find under "Griffith's Wisconsin Battery (Capt. Richard R. Griffith)" the alternate designations of the 7th and 13th Wisconsin light artillery batteries, but the latter was not established until 1864. Therefore, it seems clear that our cover came from a member of the 7th Wisconsin battery, with their designation shortened from "Badger State Flying Artillery" to just "Badger Battery."

In this case, whether the unit was the 5th, 6 th or 7 th is unimportant, since we know where the cover originated before being taken to Cairo. Such is not the case with most covers, and an analysis such as this can often reveal a point of origin when coupled with an established date and the unit history.

To complete the story, Island No. 10 had fallen before the cover was mailed, officially surrendering with some 7,000 men on April 8th. Actually one of the really important events of the war in the west, this action was overshadowed in history by the battle of Shiloh which took place at the same time.

By June, most of the troops had left New Madrid, other than a small force to prevent Confederate recapture of the strong Madrid Bend position. No doubt Postmaster Denhart was able to resume a fairly normal operation of the New Madrid post office with the letters from the Federal troops remaining at New Madrid replacing the business derived from river commercial traffic.

> WANTTO BUY CLASSIC 19th CENTURY - U. S. COVERS FIRST DAYS STAMPLESS - TERRITORIAL - WESTERN - LOCALS CONFEDERATES - CIVIL WAR PATRIOTICS - EXPRESS
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## SOME OUTSTANDING 1861-68 PERFORATING VARIETIES

## WILLIAM K. HERZOG

Mr. C. W. Christian's article "Production Varieties of Printing and Perforating, 1861-67" (Chronicle 81:25-30) quite thoroughly discussed its topic. Consequently, this short article will not deal with an in-depth discussion of perforating, but will, however, illustrate a few "outstanding" examples of perforating varieties on the 1861-68 stamps.

## DOUBLE PERFORATIONS

Figure 1 illustrates a $10 \not \subset 1861$ envelope bearing two $10 \not \subset 1861$ Type I stamps (Scott 62B). It was mailed from Benecia, Cal., on April 21, 1862, to Neponsett, Mass. The stamps probably paid three times the 10 cents transcontinental rate, which was in effect from April 1, 1855 to July 1, 1863. Both $10 \not \subset$ Type I stamps 'exhibit double vertical perforations. Needless to say, this is an exceptional example of the variety, as well as an exceptional item in general, because of the scarcity of the $10 \varnothing$ Type I stamps. ${ }^{1}$


Figure 1. Two $10 ¢$ Type Is with double vertical perforations used on $10 ¢$ envelope mailed from Benecia, Cal. to Neponsett, Mass., on April 21, 1862. Courtesy of Charles Starnes.


Figure 2. A $3 \$ 1861$ with double perforations in both directions. Courtesy of Abe Boyarsky.


Figure 3. A $3 \phi 1861$ with exfreme "wild perforations". Courtesy of Abe Boyarsky.

Figure 2 illustrates the only example of double perforations in "both" directions known to this writer. The chances of finding such an item are infinitely

[^4]smaller than of finding a stamp with double perforations in one direction. To produce this variety the sheet was fed through the perforating machine four times, twice in each direction.

WILD PERFORATIONS


Figure 4. A $3 \xi^{\prime \prime}$ " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " grill with extreme "wild perforations."


Figure 5. The back of Figure 4 showing a complete, Figure ${ }^{5}$ " The back of Figure ${ }^{4}$ showing a complefe, normal "F"
perforations."

Figure 3 is a $3 \not \subset 1861$ showing extreme "wild perforations" caused by a paper crease passing through the stamp. As "Bert" Christian mentioned in his article, such items are very scarce on early U.S. stamps.

Figures 4 and 5 show the front and back of a $3 \not \subset$ " $F$ " grill exhibiting "wild perforations" caused by a paper crease passing through another portion of its sheet. There is a full, normal "F" grill which indicates the sheet became creased between the grilling and perforating processes. ${ }^{2}$

Can anyone report additional examples of double perforations in both directions on 1861-68 stamps?

[^5]
## 5 $\phi$ BLACK BROWNS ON SCOTT NOS. 76 AND 95 WHLIAM K. HERZOG

The Scott Specialized Catalogue of United States Stamps listed for many years both the dark brown and black brown shades of the $5 \phi$ brown (No. 76) and $5 \phi$ " $F$ " grill (No. 95). A few years ago, the black brown listings for these stamps were removed from the catalogue. This removal is rather puzzling since the black brown shade definitely exists on these $5 \phi$ stamps.

John Luff, ${ }^{1}$ Stanley Ashbrook, ${ }^{2}$ and Dr. Carroll Chase ${ }^{3}$ listed the earliest known use of the $5 \Varangle$ black brown as July 18, 1863. Eustace Power ${ }^{4}$ claimed the true black brown shade was quite scarce in unused condition. Lester Brookman ${ }^{5}$ listed the black brown shade on both the $5 \phi$ brown and $5 \not \phi^{\text {"F }}$ " grill.

Figure 1 is a cover franked by a pair of $5 \phi$ black browns. It was mailed

[^6]

Figure 1. A pair of $\mathbf{5} \boldsymbol{\$}$ black browns mailed on March 3, 1868, to Nova Scotia. Courtesy of Charles J. Starnes. from Lynn, Mass., on March 3, 1868. The stamps paid the 10 cents per $1 / \frac{1}{2}$ ounce irrespective of distance ${ }^{6}$ Treaty rate to Halifax, Nova Scotia.

Figure 2 is a cover franked by a $5 \not \phi^{~ " ~} \mathrm{~F}$ " grill in black brown and a $10 \phi$ " F " grill. It was mailed from New York City on December 23, 1868. The stamps paid the 15 cents per $\frac{11}{4}$ ounce Treaty rate to Paris, France. It is presently my earliest "unquestionable" recorded use for the $5 \not \phi^{\prime \prime} F$ " grill. ${ }^{7}$


Figure 2. A $5 ¢$ black brown "F" grill and $10 \not{ }^{\prime}$ " $F$ " grill mailed on December 23, 1868, to France. This is presently the earliest "unquestionable" recorded use of the 5 " " $F$ " grill.

The $5 \phi$ shades on these two covers are extreme examples that are unquestionably black browns. Obviously, the black brown shade exists on Scott Nos. 76 and 95. Philatelists interested in the 1861-68 stamps should seek out these shades and correctly list them as black browns in their collections. There is an important lesson to be learned here: just because something is not listed in the Scott Specialized Catalogue of United States Stamps, do not assume it doesn't exist.

[^7]

## THE 1869 PERIOD <br> MICHAEL LAURENCE, Editor

## THE TYPE I 15C 1869 ON COVER: A CENSUS AND ANALYSIS JON ROSE

At least two major questions have long remained unanswered in regard to the Type I $15 \notin 1869$ bicolor stamp. One is how many stamps of this type were issued. The other is how many Type I stamps used on cover remain for today's collector.

Actually, approximate answers to each question may be linked. Lester Brookman estimated that 200,000 Type I stamps were issued. ${ }^{1}$ Whereas, Michael Laurence suggested 140,000 as the more accurate number. ${ }^{2}$

Delivery figures given by William Herzog ${ }^{3}$ show that at least 77,740 Type I $15 \phi$ stamps were issued. Laurence concluded that another 63,000 or so Type I stamps were delivered during the second quarter of 1869 , and that none was issued after July 1, 1869.

These facts and hypotheses could account for the preponderant use of Type I 15d stamps during the year 1869, especially during the months of April through July. In this updated census it is shown that 76 genuine covers are known used during this four-month period; and that only 38 genuine or arguably genuine covers are recorded during the next 18 plus months.


Figure 1. New Orleans to Prussia via closed mail via England, at the $15 \phi$ per $1 / 2$ ounce rate effective 1 January 1868-30 June 1870. New Orleans May 23 (1869), New York Paid All Br Transit May 27. The vignette on the stamp is shifted downward, conveniently showing the absence of ornamentation and shading that is characteristic of the $15 \$ 1869$ Type 1 .

We do know now, thanks to the work of a number of dedicated philatelists, that the Type I on cover is scarce. The writer believes that 125 could be a fair, conservative estimate for the number of genuine covers still extant. Our survey during the past three years has revealed just 121 covers, six of which are deemed questionable pending inspection and seven of which have authoritatively been declared fakes. That leaves 108 unarguably genuine covers. No doubt there are others. Are they in European or Asian collections or buried in U.S. collections?

[^8]Brookman notes that this stamp is "rather rare on covers, and when found is usually on the large legal size envelope." ${ }^{4}$ The writer agrees with the first statement, but the second is certainly incorrect. Fifteen cent Type Is (and IIs) are often found on small envelopes addressed to France or other foreign destinations.

Type II stamps were being shipped to post offices as early as May 1869 (earliest cover use stated in Scott's Specialized is May 23, 1869). Our study has revealed no earlier Type I cover than the April 2, 1869, example sent from New Orleans to Bordeaux, France, and illustrated in Brookman.

It seems that New Orleans and New York City were the first post offices to sell Type I $15 \phi$ stamps, as the first 13 covers (chronologically) are from these two cities. Further, a majority of Type I $15 \phi$ covers emanated from New Orleans (37) or New York City (52).

In reality, the great majority of the listed Type I covers originated in three major U.S. cities ( 96 of 114 ). France was the most common destination with 70 covers, and also the most common destination on faked covers (six of seven).

An interesting observation about the $15 \not \subset 1869$ stamps in general is worth repeating. Laurence, writing in the May 1977 edition of 1869 Times, noted that during the four quarters of calendar year 1869, twice as many $15 \not \subset$ Lincolns of the previous $1866-67$ issue were distributed to post offices as the (current) $15 \phi$ 1869 stamps. Information like this reinforces the position that $15 \phi$ Type Is on cover are very scarce.


Figure 2. 15¢ Type I on a 34 Nesbitt entire from Now York City (1 June 1869) to Columbia, South America, a very scarce destination. $10 ¢$ paid posfage from New York ( June 1869) to Aspinwall, with the remaining $8 \%$ credited to England for Royal Mail carriage from Aspinwall north to Cartagena and (presumably) back south to Monteria. The $18 \phi$ rate to New Grenada (as Columbia was then usually called) "via British mail via Aspinwall" was effective 1859-1875, but covers showing the rate are seldom seen.

## QUANTITIES

Chronicle and Register readers may recall that researcher Richard Searing (now editor of the Bank Note Period in the Chronicle) listed 49 genuine $30 \phi$ 1869 covers and 62 genuine $24 \varnothing$ covers. ${ }^{5}$ To these figures we now add at least 108 genuine $15 \not \subset$ Type I covers. With the one known $90 \not \subset$ cover, we obtain a grand total of 220 covers showing the four scarcest 1869 high values.

Searing's "rule of thumb" factor of 0.0002 multiplied by the number of issued stamps closely approximated the number of covers which he listed. If the large Port Chester, N.Y., find of $24 \phi$ covers is subtracted from the list, this factor for both $24 \phi$ and $30 \phi 1869$ stamps is almost identical:

[^9]

Figure 3. $15 ¢$ Type I with $30 ¢ 1869$, Now Orleans ( 14 June 1869) to Bordeaux, three times the $15 \$$ per quarter ounce treaty rafe. New York credit 18 (Jun 19) and Calais entry marking confirm carriage via Inman sfeamer "City of London," which left New York City 19 June 1869. Four covers are recorded with this combination, all of them triple rates to France.

24¢: (62 covers less 11 Port Chester covers $=51$ covers)
$30 \phi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{51 \text { covers }}{235,350 \text { stamps }}=.000217 \\
& \frac{49 \text { covers }}{244,110 \text { stamps }}=.000201
\end{aligned}
$$

Can this "rule of thumb" be applied to the listed number of genuine surving $15 \not \subset 1869$ Type I covers (108) to fix the approximate number of issued stamps? No. After reviewing the data summary for \#118, we might expect to find two and a half to three times the number of extant $15 \phi$ Type I covers as Searing's "rule of thumb" would predict.

Searing's factor would call for about 625,000 Type I $15 \phi$ stamps issued ( 125 estimated genuine covers $/ 0.0002=625,000$ ). This large number is too high we know. Recall that Luff's research indicated a total output of $1,438,84015 \phi$ stamps of both types. And it is well known that $15 \phi$ Type II (\#119) stamps and covers are relatively much more plentiful that Type Is.

We estimate that between 183,000 and $250,00015 \notin$ Type I stamps were issued based on the following:
a)
b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{110 \text { covers (low) }}{0.0006 \text { Factor }}=183,000 \text { issued stamps } \\
& \frac{125 \text { covers } \text { (high) }}{0.0005 \text { Factor }}=250,000 \text { issued stamps }
\end{aligned}
$$

We have estimated the survival rate as higher for \# 118 covers than for either the $24 \not \subset$ or $30 \not \subset 1869$ covers. Observe that some 70 percent of the Type I $15 \phi$ covers are single rate foreign uses, and an additional 10 percent are single weight domestic registered covers.

Thus, surviving covers are preponderantly small and light. A total of 70 were sent to France, and most contained personal correspondence. Therefore, these generally small covers, many of which contained either personal letters or business matter, were destined for locations in France or the United States where, after retention, they could easily find their way into U.S. collections.

Unlike so many $24 \phi$ and $30 \not \subset$ covers, they were not cast across Planet Earth in payment of high and exotic international postage rates.

## OBSERVATIONS ABOUT THE LISTING

A total of 105 Type I $15 \not \subset$ covers were listed in The 1978 Register of the U.S. 1869 Pictorial Research Associates, Inc., by the writer and his fellow researcher, Elliott Coulter. Of this number eight were believed questionable and three were fakes.

This list has grown to 121 of which six are now thought to be questionable and seven deemed fakes of some sort. Thus, in the space of about two years, another 12 genuine \#118 covers have surfaced. This is in part due to contributions from the late John Juhring's massive 1869 accumulation and the inspiring Marc Haas postal history collection.

Table I lists the 114 genuine and "questionable" covers, arranged chronologically according to the date the cover entered the U.S. mails-typically taken from the circular datestamp. On the innocent-until-proved-guilty theory, questionable covers are included in Table 1, marked with an asterisk next to the date. Collectors who own these covers ought to submit them to expert examination. Covers authoritatively declared fake are listed in Table II. The Table I data are statistically summarized in Table III.


Figure 4. Owensville, Ohio (manuseript, 21 January 1870) to Paris, with $15 \&$ Type 1 overpaying the $10 ¢$ direct rate that commenced on 1 January 1870. New York exchange office marking with " $X^{\prime \prime}$, Cherbourg double circle entry marking and French 8 decime handstamped due marking are typical of direct covers to France during 1870, which most frequently are found bearing $10 ¢ 1869$.

Combination covers are rare, but the list shows 24 . Two covers show multiple country or mixed franking use with French stamps, and a third shows use with Italian stamps. I suspect there are a few more mixed franking covers.

Covers are listed showing the Type I $15 \phi$ used with the $1 \phi, 3 \phi, 6 \phi$ and $30 \phi$ 1869 values. Oddly, no covers are yet known with the $2 \phi, 10 \phi, 12 \phi$ or $24 \phi 1869$ stamps. Somewhere out there is a cover franked with both Type I and Type II $15 \phi 1869$ stamps. Can anyone say where it is?

Four covers are recorded where the Type I stamp is used on postal stationery. Two covers exist with the stamp in combination with the $30 \not \subset$ Franklin stamp-one each with the $30 \not \subset 1861$ and the $30 \not \subset$ F grill. One cover is recorded showing the Type I with the $3 \not \subset$ Banknote stamp (Scott 136).

The controversial Osaka-Yokohama cover, bearing a Type I $15 \phi$ plus a $3 \phi$ and a $24 \phi$ 1869, has been declared not genuine as is by the Philatelic Foundation, the writer understands. The assumption is that only the $3 \phi$ stamp belongs. Any comments? This cover sold for $\$ 16,500$ in the J. David Baker sale, 4 April 1978, subject to an opinion. It was once part of the Henry Gibson and William West collections.

Although this census has been extensively revised and expanded since its debut in The 1978 Register, mistakes and omissions undoubtedly exist. The writer earnestly solicits information from collectors who know of Type I $15 \phi$ covers not listed here. Also, he would like to have all errors corrected and asks for indulgence for any made so far. Credit is acknowledged to all who assisted this writer, especially his compatriot in research, Elliott Coulter, currently president of the U.S. 1869 Pictorial Research Associates. Thanks also for the cooperation given by the Philatelic Foundation.

The 1869 cover census continues. All values on cover are under study. It is hoped that a complete cover listing will be published in time for AMERIPEX 1986, the international stamp show to be held in Chicago in May 1986.

## TABLE I

## 15C 1869 TYPE 1 STAMP USED ON COVER

| Date | Origin/Destination Stamp(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4/2/69 | New Orleans/Bordeaux 118 |
| 4/3/69 | New Orleans/Fumel, Fr. 118 |
| 4/14/69 | New Orleans/Paris 118 |
| 4/21/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/3/69 | New Orleans/France 118 |
| 5/5/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/6/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/8/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/12/69 | NYC/Palermo, Sicily 118 |
| 5/13/69 | New Orleans/Brest 118 |
| 5/14/69 | New Orleans/Bagneres,Fr. 118 |
| 5/15/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/15/69 | New Orleans/France 118 |
| 5/16/69 | Monongahela City, Pa./ 118 Washington, D.C. |
| 5/17/69 | New Orleans/Perpignon 118 |
| 5/18/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/18/69 | ?/Rochefort, France 118 |
| 5/19/69 | New Orleans/Rouen 118 |
| 5/22/69 | New Orleans/Bordeaux 118 |
| 5/23/69 | New Orleans/Prussia 118 |
| 5/24/69* | New Orleans/France 118 |
| 5/25/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/25/69 | NYC/Berlin 118 |
| 5/25/69 | NYC/Lehigh, Pa. 115,118 |
| 5/25/69 | NYC/Algeria 118 |
| 5/27/69 | New Orleans/Bordeaux 118 |
| 5/28/69 | New Orleans/Genoa, It. 118 |
| 5/28/69 | New Orleans/Paris 118 |
| 5/29/69 | NYC/Paris/London 118+ |
| 5/?/69 | NYC/Paris $\quad$ Fr. \#35 |
| 5/2?/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 5/?/69 | NYC/Italy 118 |
| 6/1/69 | NYC/Columbia U58,118 |
| 6/2/69 | Newberne, NC/Wilson, 114,118 NC |
| 6/2/69 | NYC?/Paris 118 |
| 6/3/69 | Newport, RI/France 118 |
| 6/5/69 | NYC/Paris/Switzerland 118+ |
|  | Fr. \#34 |
| 6/7/69 | New Orleans/Turin, It. 118 |
| 6/7/69 | New Orleans/Paris 100,118 |
| 6/8/69 | N.O./Hautes-Pyrenees, Fr. 118 |
| 6/8/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 6/9/69 | NYC/Palermo, Sicily 118 |
| 6/9/69* | NYC/Bordeaux 118 |
| 6/9/69 | New Orleans/Paris 118,121 |
| 6/9/69 | NYC/Paris 118 |
| 6/10/69 | N.O./Ft. Scott, Kan. 118,U58 |


| Date | Origin/Destination | Stamp(s) | Remarks | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/12/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | NY cr 12; Fr paq \#3 | IV Juhring 514 <br> (Frankfurt) |
| 6/12/69 | N.O./Gironde, France | 118 | NY cr 6; Calais 26 Juin | Siegel 5/29/74, 562 |
| 6/13/69 | NYC/Munich | 118 | NYPABrTr | Harmer 1/14/64, 214 |
| 6/14/69 | New Orleans/Paris | 118,121 | NY Jun 19 cr 18; Calais | Figure 3 |
| 6/15/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | dr 24; insuff pd; 16 due | Western collector |
| 6/15/69 | New Orleans/Paris | 118 | NY cr 6 Jun 19; Calais | Brookman, II, 172 |
| 6/17/69 | NYC/Phila. | 114,118 | $3 \hat{\phi}+15 \hat{\phi}$ registered | II Hessel 733; PFC $\# 56519$ |
| 6/18/69 | Gonzales, Texas./Va. | 118,U59 | $3 \phi+15 \phi$ registered | Ashbrook Special Service |
| 6/19/69 | NYC/Belfast | 118 | 3 ed overpay NYPA 6/19 | I Juhring 813 |
| 6/23/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | NY cr 6; Calais 6 Juil | IV Juhring, 514 <br> (Frankfurt) |
| 6/25/69 | New Orleans/Pyrenees | 118 | NY cr 3; Cherbourg | Harmer 6/7/71, 1193 |
| 6/26/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | NY cr 12; Fr. Paq. | Siegel 5/28/74, 452 |
| 6/26/69 | New Orleans/France | 118 | dr 6; insuff pd; 16 due | Gimelson 1/27/67, 119 |
| 6/26/69 | NYC/Buffalo, N.Y. 2 | 2-114,118 | $2 \times 3 \phi+15 \phi$ registered | Midwest collector |
| 6/26/69 | NYC/Paris | 3-118 | cr 36; 3 times rate | Siegel 6/23/65, 137 |
| 6/29/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | NY cr 3 ties; Cherbourg | ex Worthington, Haas |
| 6/2?/69 | New Orleans/Bordeaux | 118 | Cherbourg entry 7/10/69 | Herst 2/5/64, 986 |
| 6/?/69* | NYC/Paris | 118 | black " 15 " ties; fake? | lot 26, 7/18/69 sale of ? |
| 7/3?/69 | NYC/France | 118,121 | 3 times rate | SBA says ex-Hollowbush |
| 7/5/69 | NYC/France | 118 | NY cr 6; Calais 7/16/69 | Siegel 1/14/71, 1263 |
| 7/10/69 | NYC/Paris | 2-118 | NY cr 12 | Midwest collector |
| 7/11/69 | N.O./Fumel, France | 118 | NY cr 6 | Eastern collector |
| 7/13/69 | NYC/Furth, Bavaria | 118 | NYPABrTr | Siegel 3/27/74, 73 |
| 7/13/69?* | San Francisco/Maricosta Italy | $\begin{array}{rr} \mathrm{ta} & 118+ \\ 2 & \mathrm{It} . \mathrm{J} 9 \end{array}$ | CMviaE? | Harmer 2/12/80, 391 |
| 7/15/69* | New Orleans/Havre | 118 | Stamp added? | SPB 4/29/80, 321 |
| 7/16/69 | NYC/Cherokee Co., N.C. | 114,118 | $3 ¢$ + $15 \phi$ registered | Harmer 4/19/67, 366 |
| 7/20/69 | Washington, D.C./ Albany | 115,118 | $2 \times 3 \phi+15 \phi$ registered | Harmer 6/24/69, 81 |
| 7/27/69 | Washington, D.C./ (?) | 115,118 | $7 \times 3 ¢$ domestic | IV Juhring 517 <br> (Frankfurt) |
| 7/29/69 | NYC/Furth, Bavaria | 118 | NYPABrTr, Verv-Coeln | Krug 770 (Siegel $5 / 22 / 58)$ |
| 7/31/69 | NYC/Bavaria | 118 | NYPA DIRECT | Siegel 6/12/73, 337 |
| 8/3/69? | San Francisco/Hamburg | g 118 | NYPABrTr Aug 13 | Western collector |
| 8/5/69 | New Bedford/France | 118 | NY cr 12 | Wm. Fox 12/5/78, 48 |
| 8/14/69 | NYC/France | 118 | top left miss | Siegel 5/2/73, 173, no ill. |
| 8/16/69 | Washington, D.C./Paris | s 118 | tied by split cork | Eastern collector |
| 8/17/69 | Lexington, Ky./domestic | c 118 | $5 \times 3$ c rate | Harmer 4/26/66, 277 |
| 8/17/69 | NYC/Furth, Bavaria | 118 | NYPABrTr | Gerber 1/19/59, 312 |
| 8/21/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | NY cr 12 | PFC \#51977 |
| 8/23/69 | NYC/France | 71,118 | cr 48; $15 ¢$ missing ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | Harmer 12/7/54, 322 |
| 8/25/69 | New Orleans/France | 118 | NY cr 6, Sept 2 | $\text { lot } 496,12 / 12 / 53$ <br> sale of? |
| 9/15/69 | Wash., D.C./Geneva | 118 | NYPABrTr | PFC \#42335 |
| 9/15/69 | NYC/Charente, France | 118 | NY cr 6; Calais 9/26 | Harmer 3/24/75, 201 |
| 9/19/69 | Batavia, NY/ <br> Cowlesville, NY | 115,118 | $2 \times 3 \phi+15 \phi$ registered | Harmer, 5/22/61, Shierson coll. |
| 9/25/69 | Marshall, Tx/Athens, Tx | Tx 118 | $5 \times 3 ¢$, large cover | IV Juhring, 510 (Frankfurt) |
| 9/28/69 | Washington, D.C./ France | 118,121 | NY cr 18; Calais | I Juhring, 830 |
| 9/28/69 | Brandenburg,Ky/ <br> Alfred, Me | 118,U21 | $3 \phi+15 \phi$ registered | I Juhring, 816 |
| 9/?/69 | New Orleans/Paris | 118 | NY cr 12, Sept 5 | Harmer 10/12/70, 545 |
| 9/?/? | Batavia, NY/Belmont, N | NY 118 | turned cover | Siegel 1/11/68, 1539 |
| 10/?/69 | N.O./Bordeaux | 118 | NY cr 3 | Siegel 6/22/66, 494 |
| 11/6/69 | NYC/Toulon, France | 118 | Front only | Koerber 5/20/77, 332 |
| 11/8/69 | New Orleans/Metz, Fr. | 118 | NY cr 12, Nov 13 | PFC; signed SBA |
| 11/16/69 | New Orleans/Bordeaux | 118 | NY cr 6 | Wolffers 3/2/73, 235 |
| 11/20/69 | Rensselaerville, N.Y./ Starksborough, Vt. | 118,136 | $3 \hat{\phi}+15 \hat{d}$ registered | Kohler; W. Germany, 2/15/78, 7158 |
| 11/30/69* | N.O./Florence, Italy | 118 | NYPABrTr; 118 added? | Harmer 5/6/71, 404, withdrawn |
| 12/2/69 | NYC/Geneva, Switzerlan | nd 118 | NYPABrTr | Marc Haas collection |
| 12/7/69 | Gonzales, Tex./Boston | 114,118 | $3 ¢+15 \phi$ registered | J. D. Baker sale, 210 |
| 12/21/69 | Washington, D.C./Franc | ce 118 | NY dr 18; insuff paid | Siegel 5/12/78, 468 |
| 12/?/69 | New Orleans/France | 118 | NY dr 18; 16 decimes | Siegel 11/17/75, 123 |


| Date | Origin/Destination | Stamp(s) | Remarks | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ?/?/69 | NYC/Paris | 118 | NY cr 3; Cherbourg | ? sale, 4/9/54 |
| 1/11/70 | NYC/France | 118 | $10 ¢$ rate; 8 decimes due | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Harmer (London) } \\ & 11 / 17 / 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1/21/70 | Owensville, O./Paris | 118 | NY 1/25; 8 decimes due | Figure 4 |
| 1/?/70 | Gloucester, Mass./ Genoa/Italy | $\begin{array}{r} 112,114 \\ 118 \end{array}$ | $19 ¢$ rate via N.G.U. via England | Siegel 6/12/73, 338 |
| 2/1/70 | New Orleans/France | 118 | $10 ¢$ rate; 8 decimes due | Krug 768, PFC \#5712 |
| 3/8/70 | Marysville, Cal./Switz. | 2-118 | 2x15 $\phi$ rate; ${ }^{\text {NYPABrTr }}$ 3/19 | Gibson 479 |
| 3/24/70 | Washington, D.C./Germ | ny 118 | NYPAD 3/26, Bremen 10/4/70 | SPB 2/5/80, 1313 |
| 5/24/70 | NewOrleans/France | 118 | $10 ¢$ rate; 8 decimes due | Siegel 5/12/78, 467 |
| 11/12/70 | NYC/Genoa, Italy | 118 | NYPABrTr 11/12 | Fifield $11 / 12 / 47,150$ |
| ?/?/? | NYC/Canjoharie, N.Y. | 2-118 | $5 \times 3 \phi+15 \phi$ registered | Apfelbaum 4/1/65, 545 |
| ?/?/? | NYC/Naples | 118 | NYPABrTr | Gibson 475 |

## TABLE II <br> FAKED COVERS WITH 15C 1869 TYPE 1 STAMP

Date
6/23/69
10/29/69
11/22/69
1/31/70
3/1/70
12/4/70
4/?/71

| Origin/Destination | Stamp(s) |
| :--- | ---: |
| NYC/Paris | 118,121 |
| San Fran/Angouleme, | Fr. |
| Washington, D.C./Paris | 118 |
| Wrand | 1120 |
| San Francisco/France | 118 |
| San Francisco/France | 118 |
| NYC/Angouleme, Francer | $2-118$ |
| Osaka/Yokohama | $114,118,120$ |

Remarks
ex Juhring, PFC ref coll SBA (SS) says fake ex Juhring, 120 added 118 added; $10 ¢$ rate PFC says NG SBA (SS) says fake only 114 belongs (PFC)

Source
PFC \#67827: NG ex West PFC \#67826: NG Western collector II Hessel 707 ex-Waterhouse J. D. Baker, 214

## TABLE III

## 15C 1869 TYPE 1 ON COVER-DATA SUMMARY

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Batavia, NY | 2 |
| Brandenburg, KY | 1 |
| Gloucester,MA | 1 |
| Gonzales, TX | 2 |
| Lexington, KY | 1 |
| Marshall, TX | 1 |
| Marysville, CA | 1 |
| Monongahela, PA | 1 |
| New Bedford, MA | 1 |
| Newberne, NC | 1 |
| Newport, RI | 1 |
| New Orleans | 37 |
| New York City | 52 |
| Owensville, O | 1 |
| Rensselaerville, NY | 1 |
| San Francisco | 2 |
| UNKNOWN | 1 |
| Washington, D.C. | 7 |
|  |  |
|  | Total |
|  | 114 |



## PATRONIZE OUR ADVERTISERS



## U.S.-HONG KONG COMBINATION COVER USED FROM YOKOHAMA-1874

 RICHARD B. GRAHAMCovers bearing U.S. stamps and sent from the Orient to the United States in the 1860s and early 1870s should be well known to readers of the Chronicle over the last ten years or so, as they have been frequently mentioned in these pages. They are considered among the most interesting usages of 19th century U.S. postal history, but aside from that, they have a kind of aura all their own. The slightly mysterious origin (to an American) from the mid 19th century Orient is compounded by the equally appealing concept of stamps used from post offices thousands of miles from the country of the origin of the stampsall this places such covers in a niche all by themselves.

Generally speaking the use of U.S. stamps from the Orient started with the establishment of the post offices at the U.S. consulates in the Chinese treaty ports and Japan, together with the beginnings of the transpacific steamer service of the Pacific Mail Steam Ship Co. out of San Francisco to the Orient. This latter enterprise was U.S. entirely; it was subsidized heavily and operated under a U.S. mail contract. All of this started in 1867, and the following year, a mail convention between the British post office at Hong Kong and the U.S. enhanced the passage of mail from the Orient to Europe via San Francisco.

Covers sent from Hong Kong and the treaty ports in China bear Hong Kong stamps and such are very highly regarded, the great bulk of them being addressed to Europe. Passage via San Francisco was found to have expedited such mails considerably. Although the British did establish a mail route eventually from the Orient to Vancouver, their vessels were chartered in the mid 1870s by the Pacific Mail Steam Ship Co., the U.S. mail contractor, to run into San Francisco, and thus mails by such vessels were under the U.S. mail contracts and were sent through the U.S. consular post offices in the Orient.

We usually don't much consider that which, although possible, we never see. Covers from the British treaty ports in Japan, bearing Hong Kong stamps, and addressed to the United States, certainly fall into that category. Since the obvious route to the U.S. from Japan was via the U.S. Consular post offices and the U.S. contract steamers into San Francisco, most mails took that route, and those that did not went via Suez and Europe. Or so we thought, if we considered it at all.

The idea of the British carrying mails from Japan into San Francisco prior to the closing of the U.S. Consular post offices in Japan at the end of 1874 thus had really never been considered until the cover illustrated in Figure 1 appeared. Even then, in spite of the markings of the British Post Office at Yokohama, some really fine research by the late Willem Metzelaar of the Netherlands was needed to reveal the cover for what it is.

Just how Mr. Metzelaar became aware of the cover and what led to his research is, perhaps, of some interest to readers of the Chronicle. The cover was first shown in an article by this writer in The American Philatelist of November 1978 entitled, "Postal History and Stamps; A Colorful Combination, 1861-1890." For that article (a color showcase of later classic period U.S. postal history covers), only the front of the cover, as taken from a slide, was illustrated.

The cover was quite unusual, bearing a $3 \notin$ U.S. "National" Banknote stamp, and a Hong Kong $8 \phi$ orange of 1865 with the barred oval "Y1" killer of the British P.O. at Yokohama, as well as a San Francisco c.d.s. with duplexed killer. Another interesting feature was a double lined oval forwarding marking of "Walsh Hall \& Co. $/{ }^{*}$ Yokohama"," and a manuscript direction indicating the name of a transpacific steamer, "P Altoona." A crayon " 7 " due
marking, and the address to one Edward Hall at Ellington, Connecticut, U.S.A., completed the tale.

The writer should make clear right now that he did not then fully understand the handling of the cover. But, to illustrate the point that Banknote covers can be extremely interesting from a postal history standpoint, contrary to the opinion apparently prevailing among collectors of U.S. classic covers, an explanation of the cover in capsule form accompanied the illustration. The writeup discussed the U.S. transpacific mails in general, and stated (erroneously, as we shall see) that the German mail steamer Altona had been chartered by the Pacific Mail Steamship Co. The reason for the combination use of both Hong Kong and U.S. stamps, although originating from Japan, was not given because it was not known. It was pointed out that the British Hong Kong stamp, (which was adequate for full postage to destination under the U.S.-British Hong Kong postal convention of 1867) was not recognized as indicated by the blue crayon "7," which, with the $3 \phi$ U.S. Banknote stamp, was used to make up a $10 \phi$ "transpacific" rate.


Figure 1. Combination cover with Hong Kong and U.S. stamps used from Yokohama, Japan, in 1874, and carried by German steamer "Altona."

Over a year later, an inquiry regarding the cover from Mr. Willem Metzelaar of The Hague was telephoned to this writer by Dr. Robert M. Spaulding, Jr., Editor of Japanese Philately, the premier Japanese philatelic publication in the English language. Dr. Spaulding's relayed question was whether the cover bore a backstamp matching the barred oval "Y1" cancelling the Hong Kong stamp. The cover should have the postmark of July 5 or 6 of the British Yokohama post office, but did it? Since the illustration was from a slide, the answer to the question was not then available. However, Dr. Spaulding indicated that both he and Mr. Metzelaar considered the cover quite unusual, not only because of the stamps and their "used abroad" status, but because of the identity of the steamer upon which the cover arrived at San Francisco, the "Altoona," or, correctly, the Altona, a German steamer. This, of course, was already known from Pacific Crossings, the monograph issued some years ago by Dr. Spaulding and his co-authors, which records the ship arrivals at San Francisco from Japan from the 1850s until well into the 1870s.

Since both Dr. Spaulding and Mr. Willem Metzelaar rank as leading authorities on the operation of the foreign consular post offices in Japan and of all phases-not just U.S.-of the transpacific mails, the writer managed to obtain the cover itself for photography and analysis, and found it bore a blue backstamp of "JY 6/74" of the British post office at Yokohama, and an envelope flap with the embossed monogram "W.H. \& Co." of Walsh Hall \& Co, as shown in Figure 2. Similar photos were given to Dr. Spaulding for his use and to be passed along to Mr. Metzelaar.

Figure 2. Portion of reverse of Figure 1, showing postmark of British Yokohama post office and embossed initials "W. H. \& Co." on flap.


In the meantime, this writer's interest was strongly aroused, keyed mostly by an appreciation of the paucity of covers from Japan to the United States that emanated from the British post office there and did not cross the Pacific aboard a Pacific Mail Steamship Co. steamer. An attempt was made to explore the item in depth, and the following sequence was suggested:

- The cover was sent by a member of the Hall family in Japan to a relative in Connecticut. The enclosed letter, as per the pencilled docketing on the cover face, was datelined July 5, 1874; the cover originated at a separate compound of Walsh Hall in Japan, not Yokohama, but close enough to have been sent there in a day.
- The sender was prompted to write home by a notice or other word of the "projected sailing on July 6, 1874, of the German owned steamer Altona, as an "extra" steamer to San Francisco over and above the regular PMSS Co. vessels. (The foreign commercial interests in Japan were at the time a compact group closely segregated into small communities with their own commercial "compounds" and having their own western language newspapers and community.) The Altona was evidently chartered by British merchant interests rather than the United States group, and the sender endorsed his cover to go by the "Altoona" apparently confusing the steamer's name (from the German city of Altona) with that of the Pennsylvania railroad town.
- The sender placed the $3 \phi$ U.S. Banknote stamp and the $8 \phi$ Hong Kong stamp on the cover, the former to pay the $3 \phi$ domestic or interconsular rate between the U.S. consular post offices and the latter to carry the letter across the Pacific under the U.S.-Hong Kong postal convention arrangement. This seems to indicate the sender expected the cover to pass from one U.S. consular post office in Japan to another. Had the $8 \not \subset$ Hong Kong stamp been added later, it would probably have been at the left; the U.S. Banknote stamp almost surely was affixed by the sender, either to pay the interconsular mail postage or the U.S. domestic rate after the letter reached San Francisco. If the latter, then the sender had little or no understanding of the U.S.-Hong Kong mail convention, which provided that prepaid letters from Hong Kong would be delivered in the United States without further charge, when sent by U.S. mail. - The cover was transported from its origin to the Yokohama compound of Walsh Hall \& Co. by private means, the stamps thus remaining uncancelled. There the blue oval double line Walsh Hall forwarding marking was applied and the cover was deposited in the British Hong Kong branch post office at Yokohama, where the Hong Kong $8 \not \phi$ stamp was cancelled with their blue oval barred "Y1" with an appropriate backstamp probably also being applied. The "Y1" killer was struck so as to tie the Hong Kong stamp at both sides and yet not touch the U.S. stamp.
- The cover was placed aboard the Altona on July 6 or 7, 1874, and the vessel arrived at San Francisco on July 27, where the mails were turned over to the U.S. post office at that port.
- At San Francisco, the cover was processed on July 29, the San Francisco c.d.s. with duplexed cork killer being applied so that the latter cancelled the
U.S. $3 \not \subset$ Banknote stamp. The $8 \not \phi$ Hong Kong stamp was disregarded and the $3 \phi$ stamp was accepted as paying part of a $10 \phi$ transpacific or other rate, the remainder due being indicated by a blue crayon " 7 ."

That the Hong Kong stamp was not accepted can be explained by noting that under the terms of the U.S.-Hong Kong postal convention of 1867, the postage prepaid at Hong Kong covered only those covers (a) posted in Hong Kong and those Chinese ports "with which the Hong Kong post office has postal relations" and (b) brought to the U.S. aboard the P.M.S.S.Co. contract steamers-which the Altona evidently was not.

- The cover was thus actually rated under a provision of the U.S. P. L. \& $R$. as being from a "foreign country with which the United States have no postal convention or other arrangement," and which came by steamer over what was considered a U.S. mail route. For such letters, the rate was $10 \phi$ per $\frac{1 / 2}{2}$ ounce, and many of the U.S. east coast ports used markings reading "Steamship/10" although San Francisco apparently did not possess an example until later. This explains the acceptance of the $3 \phi$ Banknote and the $7 \phi$ due postage on the cover.


## CHINA TRANS-PACIFIC STEAM SHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

The only Company in Chins or Japan authorised to issue Throug'h Bills of Lading by the lines of the Central and Union Pacific Railroad Companies.
the chartered steamer " ALTONA,"

## Carrying Her Brimanaic Majecty's Merila,

$\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{OW}}$ in this Port will be despatchell for SAN FRANCISCO, ow tho antermen of the 61 h intant (Mondar), at 5 n'elock. Mails will clese to H. B. M. Post Ofice, at 4 o'clock.
 of Raggago froo.
Through Pamenger 'Triman ateat thily from San Finascisco for New Yonk, diatmenen 3,512 uiles, makiug the passage ie nis layn twenty hotrr.
tirmough fares, first clads.
Yoknhems in San Franciero ...................... \$20n Mex.
. New York via Centrul Union
Pmeifu: and comecting Railvands 315 "
Yokoleasen to Liverjuol vis do. do. 390
 Special arrangennents made for Senotal Clas Passengers and for Servants aceromathy ing families.

FHEIGHT RATES.
To San Framciscib.
Tea ........................ \$0. 01 ; per Ib. Girusa U. S. Goid Coill
Genernl Merclinulive 40 C'ents Mexican $\mu \boldsymbol{H}$ foot.
To New Yore, Bostion, Philalelifhia, Toionto. Baltimoul and Montheal.
Toa and Waste Silk.......................... 50.015 per Ib. Gross.
1kw Silk ..................................... U. 10 "̈
Genoral Merehafidime....................... 1.25 per foht.
To Chicage, St. Louis, Cincinkati, Indamorois Mif.waukek: anto Duruque.
Ten and Wuste Silk $\qquad$ 50.043 per Ib. Gross.
$0.09{ }^{2}$

Ten anil
General Merchandise.
 ...
.. $1.20^{2}$
Further information san be obtwined as the Oltlees of the undersigued.

HUDSON, MALCOLA \& Co.,
Yokohama, July 4, 1874. Agents. if.

In his analysis, shared with Dr. Spaulding and Mr. Metzelaar, the writer also suggested that an examination of the English language newspapers of the period in Japan might be helpful, and expressed a wish that one or the other explain the handling in Japan of this cover.

In the meantime, Dr. Spaulding forwarded the photos to Mr. Metzelaar, who promptly completed and returned his article about the cover. It appears as the lead article in the (now) current August 1980 issue of Japanese Philately (Vol. 35, No. 4) and it is, not unexpectedly, a remarkable effort. It explains nearly all the questions that could possibly be posed concerning the cover, and it is highly recommended to all having any interest whatever in the subject. ${ }^{1}$ Some of the points brought out:

- The Altona was chartered by the China Transpacific Steam Ship Co., Ltd., founded by British merchants in 1872 in Hong Kong to compete with the U.S. Pacific Mail Steam Ship Co. The Altona made the voyage of July 1874 for the China Transpacific Steamship Co., and a later trip, in January 1875, was made under a charter of the contract carrier, the Pacific Mail Steam Ship Co. (This latter company was temporarily undergoing a severe shortage of steamships, due to slow delivery of new ships on order, major repairs needed for existing ships, and the burning at sea of one of their bellweathers, the S.S. Japan in the late fall of 1874.)
- Mr. Metzelaar obtained a copy of a notice announcing the sailing of the Altona as it appeared in the English language newspaper, Japan Weekly Mail,

[^10]dated Saturday, July 4, 1874. A reproduction from Mr. Metzelaar's article is shown here through the courtesy of Dr. Spaulding. The notice states the vessel, "Carrying Her Britannic Majesty's Mails," was to sail from Yokohama for San Francisco on the afternoon of Monday, July 6th at 5 o'clock, and "the mails will close at H.B.M. Post Office at 4 o'clock."

A separate official notice in the same issue of the newspaper confirmed the despatch of British mails by the Altona on Monday, July 6th, as follows:

## POST OFFICE NOTIFICATION.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A private ship mail will close for SAN FRANCISCO, per steamer Altona on MONDAY, } \\
& \text { the } 6 \text { th instant, at } 4 \text { P.M. } \\
& \text { F. G. MACHADO, -Postmaster }
\end{aligned}
$$

Post Office, Yokohama, July 4, 1874.

- Mr. Metzelaar comments at some length upon the postal treaty relationships then in force between the Japanese and the foreign consulates of the European countries in Japan. He notes that while the United States, in 1873, had agreed to close their consular offices and use the Japanese foreign branch office by the end of 1874, (this was done, effective Dec. 31, 1874), the other consular post office operators were greatly opposed to that procedure. While of interest on its own merits, this factor may also partially explain why, in July 1874, the U.S. Consular post office at Yokohama apparently made no attempt to send mails by the Altona to San Francisco. Probably, however, the overriding factor was that those offices were legally committed to hold all mails destined for the U.S. for the Pacific Mail Steam Ship Company vessels, since those were under contract (and subsidy) of the U.S. Post Office Department.

This may also explain why the cover, if it did not originate at Walsh Hall in Yokohama, bypassed the U.S. consular post office system, which would have held it for the next PMSS sailing.

- The exact origin of the cover in view of the Walsh Hall forwarding marking is the one point which, in this writer's opinion, is not cleared up by Mr. Metzelaar's research, but needs further exploration. The short interval between the announcement in the Japan Weekly Mail July 4, 1874, (or did it appear before the publication date?) and the actual sailing late on the afternoon of July 6, is the problem. It leaves very little time for letters from distant points to reach Walsh Hall at Yokohama for forwarding. The question is whether Walsh Hall had other trading compounds in Japan at the time, and if so, where were they located?

Mr. Metzelaar comments in his article his belief that, despite the words "Forwarded by" in the oval Walsh Hall handstamp, the cover originated with a member of the Hall family at Yokohama, citing Frank (Francis) Hall as the probable sender. ${ }^{2}$

- The major point made by Mr. Metzelaar is that the July 1874 trip of the Altona to San Francisco represents the only known official despatch of British mail from Yokohama to San Francisco when the consular post offices were in operation. All the other trips by vessels of the China Trans-Pacific Steam Ship Co. (the Vasco Da Gama and the Vancouver) from Yokohama to San Francisco, as listed in Pacific Crossings, were under contracts with the Pacific Mail Steamship Co. Mr. Metzelaar notes the rarity of covers via the Altona, and remarks, concerning the subject cover: "This is one of the most unusual covers yet seen from Japan, because it bears a combination of stamps-United States and British Hong Kong-not previously known in philatelic literature on Japan."

[^11]S. M. McD.

My opinion is that most mail by the Altona to San Francisco would have been addressed to Europe, not the U.S., possibly by closed bag for transmittal through the U.S., and thus with little evidence of the mode of transit. Only letters for North America would bear S.F. markings.

This brings us to the main purpose of these notes: reports of other covers showing evidence of having been brought from Yokohama to San Francisco aboard the Altona on this voyage are solicited. Reports to this writer in photocopy form should include both front and back, and will be greatly appreciated not only by this writer but also by Dr. Spaulding.

This article is written in enthusiastic furtherance of an agreement made with Dr. Spaulding. Information submitted will appear not only in the Chronicle, but will also be included in future articles in Japanese Philately concerning the Hall family in Japan and their mails.

Both Dr. Spaulding and the writer have at times commented regarding the "compartmentalization" of postal history knowledge. Mr. Metzelaar's article, with some possible contributions by both Dr. Spaulding and the writer, is a nice example of the benefits of pooling our knowledge from the different disciplines of postal history. It is unfortunate that these lines have to conclude upon a sad note. Dr. Spaulding informs us of the death of Mr. Metzelaar on July 7, before he could see his article in print or have a chance to accept the plaudits it has earned.

## References

Halliburton, W.H.; Roger, Conrad; and Spaulding, Dr. Robert M., Jr. Pacific Crossings from Japan, 1858-79. I.S.J.P. Monograph 3, 1969.
Metzelaar, Willem. "An Anglo-American Combination Cover: Anglo-American Foreign Mail Competion in Yokohama, July 1874," Japanese Philately (August 1980), Vol. 35, No. 4.

## EDITORIAL ASSISTANCE WANTED

We are in the process of republishing an authorized edition of Ashbrook's SPECIAL SERVICE. We wish to solicit the services of an individual(s) to assist in editorial arrangement, possible limited expurgations, indexing and other philatelic judgements which, we feel, would enhance or more appropriately present this classic work which we estimate will sell for $\$ 50.00$ or less at publication. We are reluctant to go forward without such expert guidance.

## Quarterman Publications, inc. P.O. Box 156 Lincoln, Mass. 01773

WANTED: POSTAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS books, original copies before 1843, also any 1855-1873. Theron Wierenga, Box 2007, Holland, MI 49423.

WANTED: U1-U33, entires, clear cancels. Wasserman, c/o Bama, 4007 Park Ave., Union City, N.J. 07087.

WANTED: U.S. \#11 covers with Connecticut town cancels. Send priced or for offer. Will also trade for covers or plated \#11's. Robert Chipkin, Box 191, Forestville, CT 06010.

DIE ESSAY WANTED for the $5 \phi$ and $10 \phi$ 1847's, having the vignettes and frames printed separately on paper or card, in the issued colors or trial colors. Creighton C. Hart, 2700 Verona Rd., Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66208.

WHY NOT sell your better Black Jack covers at auction prices, to a collector? Robert G. McClellan, Box 563, Northbrook, IL 60062.
B. \& O. RR. STATION MARKINGS COLLECTORS NOTE: Have Bain's "B. \& O. in the Civil War," remainders, new cond., limited supply, for sale at $\$ 14.00$ postpaid. R. B. Graham, 259 E. Dominion Blvd., Columbus, Oho 43214.
CLASSIC U.S. used price list free. Many pictured. Fair prices. SASE. ISC, Box 56C, Ankeny, Iowa 50021.

ELBE COVER ALBUMS \#250 wanted. Jim Kesterson, Box 8097, Cincinnati, O 45208.

WANTED: MICHIGAN COVERS, stampless to 1890 . One or 100 . L. H. Lewis, 325 So. Jefferson, Marshall, Mich. 49068

## SERVING THE RESEARCHER

New and out of print Philatelic, Civil War, Western, Military, Railroad, Inland and Ocean Transportation, Historical Literature and Maps. In addition, we have a free Book Search Service, and service Want Lists. We are currently buying and selling.

## SOME OF OUR CURRENT OFFERINGS

1. U.S. State and Maps of other Countries (1800-1900) give year needed $\$ 10.00$ up
2. White, Railway Mail Service, 1973 Reprint, $274 \mathrm{pp} . \quad \$ 10.95$
3. Staff, Transatlantic Mail, 1980 Reprint, 192 pp . $\$ 35.00$
4. Oakley, Mississippi Postal History, Vol. II, $1980 \quad \$ 30.00$
5. Skinner and Eno, United States Cancellations 1845-1869, 1980, 362 pp .

Regular edition $\$ 25.00$ Deluxe edition $\$ 50.00$
6. Chase and Cabeen, The First Hundred Years of United States
Territorial Postmarks 1787-1887, 1980 Reprint, 341 pp . $\$ 35.00$
7. Bowen, The United States Post Office Guide 1851, Reprint $\$ 20.00$
8. Collectors Club, Philately: A Catalog of the Collectors
Club Library (21,800 cards), 1974, 682 pp . $\$ 115.00$
9. Mills, Canadian Coasfal and Inland Steam Vessels
1809-1930, 147 pp . $\$ 20.00$
10. Pearce, New Mexico Place Names, 1965, 187 pp. \$4.95
11. Granger, Arizona Place Names, 1960, 519 pp . $\$ 17.95$
12. Dawson, Place Names in Colorado, 1976, 72 pp. $\$ 2.00$
13. Pukui, Elbert, and Mookini, Place Names of Hawaii, 1974, 10 pp. $\$ 4.95$

THE MEMORABILIA CORNER
P.O. Box 15052

Norfolk, VA 23511

# PUBLIC AUCTION 548th Sale <br> GEORGE T. TURNER UNITED STATES COVER COLLECTION December 2, 1980 

Stampless Covers 215 lots/many Fancy etc.
Free Franks \& Autographs
1847, 1851, 1857 (1869) Combination covers
Banknotes, Outstanding Group
First Day Covers
Fancy Cancels \& Postmarks
Territorial Covers, Express Covers etc.
Exhibition Covers \& related material
Illustrated \& Advertising Covers
Steamboat, Ship \& Wreck Covers

Railroad Covers
Special Markings
Mourning Covers
Post Cards
Machine \& Flag Cancel Covers
Airmail \& Crash Covers
Postal Stationery Postal History
Locals \& Carriers on Cover
Patriotics \& Campaign Covers
Confederate Covers
U. S. Poss.,-Canadian Covers

Outstanding Collections \& Balances

Catalogue - \$ 1.00

## Daniel F. Kelleher Co., Inc.

Established 1885
TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE

## Stanley J. Richmond, Proprietor BOSTON, MASS. 02109

# THE FOREIGN MAILS 

CHARLES J. STARNES, Assoc. Editor WALTER HUBBARD, Assoc. Editor

## THE U.S.-MEXICAN POSTAL CONVENTION

## CHARLES J. STARNES

One enjoyable method to follow the progress of early mail exchange between Mexico and the U.S. is to read Alex L. ter Braake's Texas, the Drama of its Postal Past. ${ }^{1}$ From 1845, U.S.-Mexican mail transmitted by sea ${ }^{2}$ could be sent under Sec. 3 of an Act of Congress, effective 1 Jul. 1845:


#### Abstract

And be it further enacted, That the rates of postage to be charged and collected on all letters, packages, newspapers, and pamphlets, or other printed matter, between the ports of the United States and the ports of foreign governments enumerated herein, transported in the United States mail under the provisions of this act, shall be as follows: . . . upon all letters and packets not exceeding one-half ounce, sent through the United States mail between the ports of the United States and any of the West India islands, or islands in the Gulf of Mexico, ten cents


However, this $10 \not \subset$ Am. Pkt. port-to-port direct sea rate was probably little used ${ }^{3}$ before it was supplanted by the general, "blanket" rate of Sec. 1, Act of Congress, effective l Jul. 1851:

> . . for every such single letter or paper when conveyed wholly or in part by sea, and to or from a foreign country, for any distance over twenty-five hundred miles, twenty cents, and for any distance under twenty-five hundred miles, ten cents, excepting, however, all cases where such postage has been or shall be adjusted at different rates by postal treaty or convention, already concluded or hereafter to be made; . . .

With a change to $10 \phi$, irrespective of distance, and a specified transit "by steamships or other vessels regularly employed in the transportation of the mails" (13 Stat 337, Sec. 8, effective 1 Jul. 1864), the $10 \phi$ sea rate to Mexico was commonly used to 1 Apr. 1879 (UPU entrance for Mexico).

At an unpropitious time-past the start of the Civil War in the U.S. and the arrival of French troops in Mexico-there was written a postal convention between the United States of America and the United Mexican States (two ironic designations, considering their bloody struggles to exist). The convention, signed in Mexico City 11 Dec. 1861 and proclaimed by President Lincoln 20 Jun. 1862, can be justified only as a political gesture of little practical value, since mail charges to Mexico remained unchanged, with only the mail from Mexico by sea treated differently. We quote portions of the convention: ${ }^{4}$

Art. I. There shall be charged on all letters, newspapers, reviews, or other periodical publications, printed pamphlets, or other printed matter, conveyed either by United States or Mexican vessels, between a port in the United States of America and a port in Mexico, the following sea rates of postage, that is to say:

1. Upon all letters not exceeding one-half ounce in weight, the rate of $7 \phi$; and upon all letters weighing more than one half-ounce, an additional rate of $7 \phi$ for each additional half-ounce or fraction thereof.
2. Upon every newspaper, daily or other, the rate of one cent.
3. Upon reviews or other periodical publications, printed pamphlets, or other printed matter, the rate of one cent for every ounce, or fraction of an ounce weight.

Art. II. There shall be charged by the post office of the United States of America, upon all letters, newspapers, printed pamphlets, or other printed matter mailed in the United States and forwarded to Mexico by sea, whether by United States or Mexican vessels, such rates of inland postage as are now or may hereafter be established by the laws of

[^12]the United States, and rate of sea postage prescribed in article first, which inland and sea postage shall be combined in one rate, and paid always in advance.

Such prepayment shall be certified by the appropriate stamps of the United States post office, and the postage so paid shall belong exclusively to the United States of America.

There shall be charged by the post office of the United Mexican States, upon all letters, newspapers, printed pamphlets, or other printed matter mailed in Mexico and forwarded to the United States of America by sea, whether by Mexican or United States vessels, such rates of inland postage as are now or may hereafter be established by the laws of Mexico, and the rate of sea postage prescribed in article first, which inland and sea postage shall be combined into one rate, and paid always in advance.

Such prepayment shall be certified by the appropriate stamps of the post office of the United Mexican States, and the postage so paid shall belong exclusively to Mexico.

Art. 3. Upon all letters, newspapers, printed pamphlets, or other printed matter received in the United States of America from Mexico by sea, there will be charged by the United States such rates of inland postage as are now or may hereafter be established by the laws of the United States, which shall be collected at the place of destination, and shall belong exclusively to the United States of America, and vice versa, upon all letters, newspapers, printed pamphlets, or other printed matter received in Mexico from the United States of America by sea, there will be charged by Mexico such rates as are now or may hereafter be established by the laws of Mexico, which shall be collected at the place of destination, and shall belong exclusively to Mexico.


Cover from Mexico, Nov. 4, 1870. Mexican rate of 50 centavos paid by stamps. U.S. $\mathbf{3} \not \subset$ due indicated by postmark.
So, letters by sea to Mexico under the above convention required U.S. postage of $10 \phi$ ( $3 \phi$ inland $+7 \phi$ sea) - just the same amount, $10 \phi$, required by the previously used "blanket rate." However, letters from Mexico by sea should show a U.S. postage due of only $3 \phi$ by convention (Art. III), or a $10 \phi$ postage due if the "blanket rate" applied (convention inoperative). The latter situation would be expected, due to the Mexican troubles, and facts show the entire U.S.Mexican convention was not effective until about Jul. 1870. A search of the files showed a number of covers from Mexico by sea to New York, all with the familiar STEAMSHIP 10 or 20 due markings, latest usage noted, Oct. 67. A more convincing bit of evidence was found hidden in the PMG reports.

| Fiscal Year | Exchange | Letters Sent |  | Letters Received |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | number | postage | U.S. postage paid/letter | number | postage | U.S. postage collected/letter |
| 1865 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1866 | 31,166 | 5149.76 | 10.1¢ | 18,053 | 2140.90 | 11.8¢ |
| 1867 | 14,258 | 1447.50 | 10.2 ¢ | 8,618 | 981.51 | 11.4 ¢ |
| 1868 | 16,704 | 1670.40 | $10.0 ¢$ | 12,495 | 1691.69 | 13.5 ¢ |
| 1869 | 23,879 | 2505.57 | 10.5 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 16,607 | 1853.83 | 11.2 ¢ |
| 1870 | 25,548 | 2558.85 | $10.0 ¢$ | 19,406 | 1608.14 | 9.36 |
| 1871 | 23,248 | 2324.80 | 10.0¢ | 17,427 | 591.82 | 3.4 ¢ |
| 1872 | 24,867 | 2486.70 | $10.0 ¢$ | 17,277 | 530.91 | 3.1 ¢ |
| 1873 | 26,130 | 2625.20 | 10.04 | 17,048 | 516.13 | 3.0¢ |

Although the survey of cover usage and listing of U.S. postage collect is all to New York, it appears most reasonable to use Jul. 1870 as the approximate start of full operation of the 1862 convention (including Art. III). We illustrate a cover from Mexico by sea, mailed from Puebla, 4 Nov. 1870. It is franked with a pair of 25 centavos '68 issue, overprinted PUEBLA 470 , which prepaid the Mexican inland and sea to New York. The black handstamp N.Y. Steamship 3 NOV 20 shows only $3 \phi$ total collect, the U.S. inland.

## WESTBOUND PRUSSIAN MAIL PAID ONLY TO G.A.P.U.

## ALLAN RADIN

Perhaps more because of the rarity of examples than for any other reason the subject of westbound Prussian Closed Mail (PCM) paid only to the GermanAustrian Postal Union (GAPU), comprising the various German states and the Austrian Empire-and administered by the Prussian postal system-seems not to have been addressed in the literature.

## It is appropriate to quote from George E. Hargest: ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

Article II of the Prussian closed mail convention stated that "upon all letters originating in and posted in one country and deliverable to the other, these rates of postage shall be combined into one rate [the 30 cent international rate], of which prepayment in advance shall be optional in either country. It shall not however be permitted to pay less than the whole combined rate." This statement referred only to the 30 cent international rate; it did not pertain to rates to or from places beyond the limits of the German-Austrian Postal Union. Article IV stated that, on letters to or from places beyond the German-Austrian Postal Union, the amount of foreign postage was to be added to the 30 cent international rate to arrive at the total postage. Each country was to furnish the other with lists stating the amount of the foreign postage, and showing the places to which the foreign postage must absolutely be prepaid, or left unpaid.


There can be little doubt that the exclusion of the part-paid international rate was intended to obviate complexities in accounting (i.e., application of debits or credits ). Partial payments of the international rate in the United States were unrecognized (attested by many surviving covers). Presumably this was also true for letters originating in the GAPU but westbound PCM covers franked with stamps are rare; none of them shows less than full prepayment. The more plentiful stampless covers from the GAPU provide no evidence; none with any indication of only partial prepayment has been seen.

It can be tenably argued that the exclusion of the part-paid international rate was intended to apply only to letters originating in the U.S. or the GAPU. Article IV relating to foreign rates recognized that in some cases prepayment of the whole postage would be mandatory. Indeed, rates published in the U.S. always showed mandatory prepayments to some destinations for at least a part of the Convention's duration.

Obligatory prepayment to some destinations allows the inference that those were within postal jurisdictions which had no treaty or other arrangements with Prussia for division of postage. For this reason it is generally believed that mandatory prepaid U.S. rates paid only to the frontier; it was so specified in some cases but not in others. Note that in quite a few cases the mandatory prepaid rate was equal to the international rate-some Italian destinations, Turkey other than cities where there was an Austrian office, Egypt (Alexandria excepted), etc. The presumption in these cases is that the published rate paid only to the exit point on the GAPU border.

From a point of origin within a jurisdiction having no arrangement with Prussia for division of postage it is obvious that westbound PCM could be neither wholly prepaid nor wholly unpaid; the sole way such a letter could be sent was by prepaying to the GAPU, with the international rate to be collected from the addressee in the U.S. It thus becomes very difficult to understand why, when the PCM Convention was drafted, the particular phraseology relating to partial payment of the international rate contained in the 1848 U.S.-British Postal Treaty, ${ }^{2}$ however appropriate for that treaty, was adopted. It would ap-

[^13]pear that phraseology patterned after that contained in the 1847 Postal Arrangement with Bremen, which specifically allowed payment only to Bremen for both letters from and to the U.S., ${ }^{3}$ was better suited to the occasion.

Therefore it might be expected that there are examples of westbound PCM covers paid only to the GAPU and originating in jurisdictions not having arrangements with Prussia for division of postage; ironically enough no such examples can be shown here, although it would, of course, be foolhardy to state dogmatically that none exists. The examples which are shown originated in Russia-a country which did have an arrangement with Prussia for division of postage.


Figure 1. August 1863 cover from St. Petersburg, presumably paid only to Prussia but transmiffed as fully prepaid to the U.S. (Starnes collection).

Figure 1 shows an August 1863 cover from St. Petersburg, Russia, marked "Aus Russland" and "Porto" by the Kőnigsberg-Bromberg Bahnpost, presumably indicating payment only to Prussia. Full prepayment to destination should have been denoted by "Franco." For comparision of markings, an 1864 cover, fully prepaid to the U.S., is shown in Figure 2. On the cover in Figure 1 the Aachen exchange office applied a vermilion credit of $23 \notin$ to the U.S., corresponding to full prepayment, and accordingly it was so marked by the Boston exchange office. Obviously a mistake in marking this letter was made either by the Bahnpost or Aachen and there is no way of determining by which office.


Figure 2. January 1864 cover from 5t. Petersburg, fully prepaid to the U.S. as indicated by "Aus Russland/Franco" handstamp. Compare with markings on cover in Figure 1. (Starnes collection).
3. Ibid., p. 14.
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In a previous discussion, "The Prussian Closed Mail," ${ }^{4}$ a cover is illustrated which at first appears to be an unspectacular international rate with Aachen debit of $5 \not \subset$ to the U.S. and a New York exchange office depreciated currency marking for collection of $30 \not \subset$ in coin or $39 \not \subset$ in "greenbacks." On the reverse of this cover is a black St. Petersburg cds (in Cyrillic characters), black "Porto", and vermilion "Aus Russland/Porto" entry marking of the Eydtkuhnen-Bromberg Bahnpost. Here there can be no doubt that Aachen recognized payment only to Prussia and sent the letter on to the U.S. with only the international rate to be collected from the addressee.

This cover demonstrates that westbound PCM from foreign points could be postpaid (only to the GAPU border) by sufferance of the Prussian postal system. The Prussian and U.S. exchange offices relied solely upon the debit or credit markings applied by the corresponding office, amply proved by a considerable number of surviving covers. And, after all, since the foreign postage was not at U.S. expense, the U.S. exchange offices were not concerned with collecting it on incoming letters unless it had been debited to the U.S.

At present we must be content to observe that westbound PCM covers of foreign origin paid only to the GAPU are, in fact, rare for reasons that perhaps shall remain an unsolved mystery. Yet, in view of the very large volume of letters conveyed in the PCM, it defies credibility that no more than an unique example or possibly two examples, should have survived. Can any reader show a westbound PCM cover, originating beyond the borders of the GAPU as evidenced by postal markings (.to exclude conveyance to the GAPU outside the mails or under cover to a forwarder), bearing an Aachen debit to the U.S. of only $5 \not \subset$ for a single rate ( $10 \phi$ for double, etc.) and marked by the U.S. exchange office for collection of only $30 \not \subset$ for a single rate ( $60 \phi$ for double, etc.)?
4. Allan Radin, The American Philatelist, December 1979, p. 1082, Figure 6.

ALAN T. ATKINS


PROUDLY SERVING
Postal Historians, Collectors of Foreign, Old Confederate and Classic U.S. Covers.

WE BUY AND SELL OLD U.S., FOREIGN<br>AND CONFEDERATE COVERS.

"Give Your Collection Our Best"

## THE CUNARD LINE'S MAIL PACKETS ON THE NORTH ATLANTIC 1870-1875

## WALTER HUBBARD AND CLIFFORD L. FRIEND

| (Continued from Chronicle 107:211) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1870 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PD LP | QT | ARR |  | PACKET | PD |  |  |  | ARR QT or LP |  |  | NOTES |
| 8 Nov | 9 | B 18 | do (M) | CALABRIA | Th | NY |  | Dec* |  | 12 Dec | (0330) |  |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 2 | Dec (M) | CHINA |  | NY | 7 | Dec |  | 18 Dec | (0500) | no mails from NY |
| 15 do | 16 | B 1 | do (M) | SIBERIA | Th |  | 8 | Dec* |  | 20 Dec | (0336) |  |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 8 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  |  | 14 | Dec |  | 25 Dec | (0900) | no mails from NY |
| 22 do | 23 | B 3 | do (M) | ALEPPO | Th |  | 15 | Dec* |  | 26 Dec | (0800) |  |
| 3 Dec | 4 | NY 14 | do (M) | BATAVIA |  |  | 21 | Dec |  | 31 Dec | (1845) | no mails from NY |
| 29 Nov | 30 | B 11 | do (M) | TRIPOLI | Th |  | 22 D | Dec* |  | 2 Jan | (1730) |  |
| 10 Dec | 11 | NY 21 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  |  |  |  |  | 5 Jan | (0330) | no mails from NY; see note 12 |
| 6 do | 7 | B 18 | do (M) | PALMYRA | Th | NY 2 | 29 D | Dec* (30) |  | 9 Jan | (1542) |  |
| 1871 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 do | 14 | B 28 | do (M) | SAMARIA | Th |  |  | Jan* | LP | 16 Jan | (1657) |  |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 31 | do (M) | PARTHIA |  |  |  |  |  | 16 Jan | (2230) | F/V <br> no mails from NY |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 6 | Jan (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY | 11 | Jan |  | 20 Jan | (1600) | no mails from NY |
| 20 do | 21 | B 2 | do (M) | CALABRIA | Th | NY | 12 |  |  | 22 Jan | (0220) |  |
| 31 do 1 | Jan | NY 13 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY | 18 |  |  | 28 Jan |  | no mails from NY |
| 27 do | 28 | B 10 | do (M) | SIBERIA | Th | NY 1 | 19 J | Jan* |  | 30 Jan | (1250) |  |
| 7 Jan | 8 | NY 19 | do (M) | CUBA |  |  | 25 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LP } 6 \mathrm{Feb} \\ & 7 \mathrm{Feb} \text { (1532) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | no mails from NY |
| 3 do | 4 | B 20 | do (M) | ALEPPO | Th | NY 2 | 26 J | Jan (27) |  |  |  |  |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 27 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  |  | 1 | Feb | LP 11 Feb |  |  | no mails from NY |
|  |  |  |  | NO SAILING | Th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 do | 22 | NY 2 | Feb (M) | CHINA |  |  | 8 | Feb |  | 17 Feb |  | do |
| 10 do | 11 | B 27 | Jan (M) | BATAVIA | Th |  | 9 | Feb | LP | 20 Feb | (2330) | see note 13 |
| 17 do | 18 | B?NY 6 | Feb (M) | TRIPOLI |  |  | 11 | Feb* |  | 24 Feb | (1430) | do |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 10 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  |  | 15 | Feb |  | 24 Feb | (2040) | no mails from NY |
| 24 do | 25 | B 9 | do (M) | SAMARIA | Th |  | 16 | Feb* |  | 28 Feb | (2400) |  |
| 4 Feb | 5 | NY 16 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  |  | 22 | Feb |  | 4 Mar |  | no mails from NY |
| 31 Jan 1 | 1 Feb | B 13 | do (M) | CALABRIA | Th | NY | 23 | Feb |  | 5 Mar | (0004) |  |
| 11 Feb 7 do | 12 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NY } 22 \\ \text { B } 20 \end{array}$ | do (M)do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 1 Mar |  |  | 10 Mar (1320) |  |  | no mails from NY |
|  | 8 |  |  | SIBERIA | Th |  | 2 | Mar* |  | 13 Mar | (0418) |  |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 1 | Mar (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY | 8 | Mar |  | 17 Mar | (0930) | no mails from NY |
| 14 do | 15 | B 26 | Feb (M) | PARTHIA | Th |  | 9 | Mar* |  | 19 Mar | (1410) |  |
| 25 do | 26 | NY 9 | Mar (M) | CHINA |  | NY | 15 | Mar |  | 25 Mar | (0430) | no mails from NY |
| 21 do | 22 | B 7 | do (M) | ALEPPO | Th | NY | 16 | Mar* |  | 29 Mar | (1245) |  |
| 4 Mar | 5 | NY 18 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  |  | 22 | Mar |  | 1 Apr |  | no mails from NY |
| 28 Fe 1 11 Mar | 1 Mar | NY 23 | do (M) | BATAVIA | Th | NY | 23 | Mar* |  | 3 Apr | (1010) |  |
| 11 Mar 14 do | 12 |  | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  |  | 29 | Mar (30) |  | LP 10 | Apr | no mails from NY |
| 14 do | 15 |  | do (M) | CALABRIA | Th |  | 30 | Mar* |  | 9 Apr | (0835) |  |
| 7 do | 8 | B 22 | do (M) | SAMARIA |  |  | 1 | Apr |  | LP 13 | Apr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no mails from NY } \\ & \text { do } \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 30 | do (M) | JAVA |  |  | 5 | Apr |  | LP 15 |  |  |
| 14 do | 15 | B 29 | do | TRIPOLI | Th | NY | 6 | Apr* |  | 17 Apr | (2000) |  |
| 21 do | 22 | B 2 | Apr (M) | SIBERIA |  |  |  | Apr |  | 19 Apr | (1900) | no mails from B; see note 14 |
| 25 do | 26 | NY 4 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  |  | 12 | Apr |  | 21 Apr | (1430) | no mails from NY see note 15 |

12. "The steamer Russia sailed from New York on the 28th of December, beginning the four hundredth voyage across the Atlantic of her veteran commander, Captain E. G. Lott. The occasion was commemorated on the evening of the 27 th by a reception at Delmonico's given in honour of Captain Lott, at which some 250 of his many friends attended. A sum of approximately $\$ 4,000$ has been subscribed for a testimonial to Captain Lott." (The Times, 20 January 1871)
13. The New York Times reported that "the Foreign Mails scheduled for Batavia did not reach her in time and that she sailed without them." Some mails must, however, already have been on board as she carried correspondence for Liverpool, Manchester and Glasgow, all of which was delivered on 21 February. The mails which missed BATAVIA were carried out by TRIPOLI, from New York 11 February, and presumably consisted of those for London and the foreign mails for transit through Britain. Although TRIPOLI was reported as arriving at New York, when she sailed from Queenstown on 18 January she carried the British mails for Boston.
14. Boston now used as a terminal port.
15. From 12 April Supplementary Mail had to be double prepaid.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1871 \text { ( } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | QT |  | ARR | PACKET |  | PD | ARR QT | or LP | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | NO SAILING | Th | NY 13 Apr |  |  |  |
| 4 Apr | 5 | B 17 | do (M) | ALEPPO |  | B 15 Apr (20) | 30 Apr | (1145) | no mails from B |
| 1 do | 2 | NY 13 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 19 Apr | 28 Apr | (1600) | no mails from NY |
| 28 Mar | 29 | B 10 | do (M) | PARTHIA | Th | NY $20 \mathrm{Apr*}$ | 30 Apr | (1420) |  |
| 8 Apr | 9 | NY 19 | do (M) | CHINA |  | NY 26 Apr | 5 May | (1500) | no mails from NY |
| 11 do | 12 | NY 23 | do (M) | NO SAILING BATAVIA |  | NY 27 Apr NY 29 Apr | 9 May | (1815) | no mails from NY |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 26 | do (M) | SCOTLA |  | NY 3 May | 12 May | (2300) | no mails from NY |
| 13 do | 14 | B 27 | do | MALTA | Th | NY 4 May* | 16 May | (1830) | see note 16 |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 1 | May (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 6 May | 16 May | (0630) | no mails from NY |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 3 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 10 May | 19 May | (0530) | do |
| 25 do | 26 | NY 6 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 13 May | 22 May | (1200) | do |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 10 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 17 May | 26 May | (1430) | do |
| 2 May | 3 | NY 14 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 20 May | 29 May | (2130) | do |
| 6 do | 7 | NY 17 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 24 May | 2 Jun | (1445) | do |
| 9 do | 10 | B 20 | do (M) | PARTHIA |  | NY 27 May | 7 Jun | (2325) | do |
| 13 do | 14 | NY 23 | do (M) | CHINA |  | NY 31 May | 9 Jun | (1745) | do |
| 16 do | 17 | NY 28 | do (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 3 Jun | 13 Jun | (2030) | do |
| 18 do | 19 | B 31 | do | TARIFA |  | B 3 Jun (6) | 18 Jun | (0245) | see note 17 |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 30 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 7 Jun | 15 Jun |  | no mails from NY |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 3 | Jun (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 10 Jun | 19 Jun | (1800) | do |
| 24 do | 25 | B 6 | do | MALTA |  | B 10 Jun (13) | 25 Jun | (1400) |  |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 6 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 14 Jun | 28 Jun | (2315) | no mails from NY |
| 30 do | 31 | NY 10 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA NO SAILING |  | NY 17 Jun <br> B 17 | 26 Jun | (2115) |  |
| 3 Jun | 4 | NY 13 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 21 Jun | 30 Jun |  | no mails from NY |
| 6 do | , | NY 17 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 24 Jun | 3 Jul | (2345) |  |
| 8 do | 9 | B 21 | do (M) | TRIPOLI |  | B 24 Jun (27) | 8 Jul | (0615) |  |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 21 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 28 Jun | 8 Jul | (0330) | no mails from NY; see note 18 |
| 13 do | 14 | B 24 | do (M) | PARTHIA <br> NO SAILING |  | $\begin{array}{rll} \text { NY } & 1 & \text { Jul } \\ \text { B } & 1 & \text { Jul } \end{array}$ | 11 Jul | (1830) | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 27 | do (M) | CHINA |  | NY 5 Jul | 14 Jul | (1315) | no mails from NY |
| 20 do | 21 | B 2 | Jul (M) | ALEPPO |  | B 8 Jul | 19 Jul |  |  |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 4 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 12 Jul | 21 Jul | (0930) | no mails from NY |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 8 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 15 Jul | 25 Jul | (0500) | do |
| 29 do | 30 | B 11 | do | SAMARIA |  | B 15 Jul | 25 Jul | (2020) |  |
| 1 Jul | 2 | NY 12 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 19 Jul | 29 Jul | (0130) | no mails from NY |
| 4 do | 5 | NY 15 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 22 Jul | 31 Jul | (2045) | do |
| 6 do |  | B 18 | do | SIBERIA |  | B 22 Jul | 1 Aug | (1930) |  |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 18 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 26 Jul | 4 Aug | (0545) | no mails from NY |
| 11 do | 12 | NY 23 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 29 Jul | 8 Aug | (0300) | do |
| 13 do | 14 | B 26 | do | MALTA |  | B 29 Jul (1 Aug) | 12 Aug | (0130) |  |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 26 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 2 Aug | 12 Aug | (1240) | no mails from NY |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 30 | do (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 5 Aug | 15 Aug | (1340) | do |
| 20 do | 21 | B ${ }^{2}$ | Aug | TRIPOLI |  | B $5 \operatorname{Aug}(8)$ | 20 Aug | (0000) |  |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 3 | do (M) | PARTHIA |  | NY 9 Aug | 20 Aug | (1115) | no mails from NY |
| 25 do | 26 | B 6 | do (M) | TARIFA |  | B 12 Aug | 23 Aug | (2015) |  |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 8 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 16 Aug | 25 Aug | (1430) | no mails from NY |
| 1 Aug | 2 | NY 12 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 19 Aug | 29 Aug | (0400) |  |
| 3 do | 4 | B 15 | do | SAMARIA |  | B 19 Aug | 30 Aug | (0400) |  |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 15 | do (M) | CHINA |  | NY 23 Aug | 1 Sep | (1040) | no mails from NY |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 18 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 26 Aug | 4 Sep | (2045) |  |
| 10 do | 11 | B 21 | do | SIBERIA |  | B 26 Aug | 5 Sep | (1600) |  |
| 12 do | 13 | NY 21 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 30 Aug | 8 Sep | (0700) | no mails from NY |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 26 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 2 Sep | 12 Sep | (0245) | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | B 30 | do | MALTA |  | B 2 Sep (5) | 16 Sep | (2300) |  |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 30 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 6 Sep | 15 Sep | (1940) | no mails from NY |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 3 | $\operatorname{Sep}$ (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 9 Sep | 20 Sep | (1710) | do |
| 24 do | 25 | B 6 | do | ALEPPO |  | B $9 \mathrm{Sep}(12)$ | 23 Sep | (1915) |  |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 6 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 13 Sep | 23 Sep | (1120) | no mails from NY |

16. The last occasion in this period on which the Cunard Line carried the United States mails from New York on a Thursday.
17. The first occasion on which the Cunard Line carried the United States mails from Boston since i January 1868.
18. End of Fiscal Year 1871.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1871 \\ & \text { PD LP } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ARR | PACKET | PD | ARR QT or LP | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 12 | do (M) | PARTHIA | NY 16 Sep | 28 Sep (0930) | do |
| 31 do | 1 Sep | B 15 | do | TARIFA | B 16 Sep (19) | 1 Oct (0600) |  |
| 2 Sep | 3 | NY 13 | do (M) | SCOTIA | NY 20 Sep | 30 Sep (0030) | no mails from NY |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 16 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 23 Sep | 2 Oct (1600) | do |
| 7 do | 8 | B 19 | do | SAMARIA | B 23 Sep | 2 Oct (1600) |  |
| 9 do | 10 | NY 19 | do (M) | CHINA | NY 27 Sep | LP 7 Oct | no mails from NY |
| 12 do | 13 | NY 23 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 30 Sep | LP 12 Oct | do |
| 14 do | 15 | B 26 | do | SIBERIA | B $30 \mathrm{Sep}(3 \mathrm{Oct}$ ) | 14 Oct (early) |  |
| 16 do | 17 | NY 26 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 4 Oct | 13 Oct | ails from NY |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 1 | Oct (M) | TRIPOLI | NY 7 Oct | 19 Oct (late) | do |
| 21 do | 23 | B 2 | do | CALABRIA | B 7 Oct | 16 Oct |  |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 3 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 11 Oct | 20 Oct (1430) | no mails from NY |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 8 | do (M) | BATAVIA | NY 14 Oct | 25 Oct (0330) |  |
| 28 do | 29 | B 13 | do | ALEPPO | B 14 Oct (17) | 27 Oct (1240) |  |
| 30 do | Oct | NY 12 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 18 Oct | LP 27 Oct | no mails from NY |
| 3 Oct | 4 | B 15 | do (M) | PARTHIA NO SAILING | NY 21 Oct B 21 Oct | 4 Nov (1300) |  |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 17 | do (M) | SCOTIA | NY 25 Oct | 4 Nov (2030) | no mails from NY |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 22 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 28 Oct | 7 Nov (1245) |  |
| 12 do | 13 | B 26 | do | SAMARIA | B 28 Oct (31) | LP 12 Nov |  |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 26 | do (M) | CHINA | NY 1 Nov | 10 Nov (1430) | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 30 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 4 Nov | LP 15 Nov | do |
| 19 do | 20 | B 4 | Nov | SIBERIA | B $4 \mathrm{Nov}(7)$ | 18 Nov (1830) |  |
| 21 do | 22 | NY 1 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 8 Nov | 17 Nov (0720) | no mails from NY |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 6 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 11 Nov | LP 22 Nov | do |
| 26 do | 27 | B 10 | do | TRIPOLI | B 11 Nov (14) | 27 Nov (0000) |  |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 9 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 15 Nov | 24 Nov (0830) | no mails from NY |
| 31 do 1 | 1 Nov | NY 13 | do (M) | BATAVIA | NY 18 Nov | 30 Nov (1015) |  |
| 2 Nov | 3 | B 14 | do | ALEPPO | B 18 Nov (21) | 8 Dec (0115) |  |
| 4 do | 5 | NY 15 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 22 Nov | 4 Dec (0200) | no mails from NY |
| 7 do | 8 | B 19 | do (M) | PALMYRA | B 25 Nov | 8 Dec (0415) |  |
| 11 do | 12 | NY 21 | do (M) | SCOTIA | NY 29 Nov | 8 Dec (1140) | no mails from NY |
| 14 do | 15 | B 27 | do (M) | PARTHIA | B 2 Dec | 12 Dec (1200) |  |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 1 | Dec (M) | ALGERIA | NY 6 Dec | 15 Dec (1800) | no mails from NY |
| 21 do | 22 | B 5 | Dec (M) | SAMARIA | B 9 Dec | 19 Dec |  |
| 25 do | 26 | NY 6 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 13 Dec | 21 Dec (0500) | no mails from NY |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 10 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 16 Dec | 25 Dec (0530) | do |
| 30 do | 1 Dec | B 13 | do | SIBERIA | B $16 \mathrm{Dec}(19)$ | 29 Dec (0700) |  |
| 2 Dec | 3 | NY 13 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 20 Dec | 29 Dec (1000) | no mails from NY |
| 5 do | 0 | B 19 | do (M) | TRIPOLI | B 23 Dec (26) | 5 Jan (1030) |  |
| 9 do | 10 | NY 22 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 27 Dec | 6 Jan (1030) | no mails from NY |
| 12 do | 13 | B 30 | do (M) | ALEPPO | B $30 \mathrm{Dec}(2 \mathrm{Jan}$ ) | 13 Jan (1645) |  |
| 1872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 do | 17 | NY | Jan (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 6 Jan | 14 Jan (1730) | no mails from NY |
| 19 do | 20 |  | do (M) | BATAVIA | B 6 Jan | 16 Jan (1145) |  |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 12 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 13 Jan (16) | 25 Jan (1915) | no mails from NY; see note 19 |
| 26 do | 27 | B 14 | do (M) | SAMARIA | B 13 Jan (16) | 28 Jan (1550) |  |
| 30 do | 31 | NY 15 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 17 Jan | 26 Jan (0015) | no mails from NY |
| 2 Jan | 3 | B 17 | do (M) | HECLA | B 20 Jan | 30 Jan (2000) |  |
| 6 do | 7 | NY 18 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 24 Jan | 2 Feb (1330) | no mails from NY |
| 9 do | 10 | B 26 | do (M) | SIBERIA | B 27 Jan (?) | 7 Feb (0130) |  |
| 13 do | 14 | NY 28 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 31 Jan | 9 Feb (late) | no mails from NY |
| 16 do | 18 | B 2 | Feb (M) | TRIPOLI | B 3 Feb (?) | 15 Feb (0930) |  |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 1 | do (M) | CHINA | NY 7 Feb | 17 Feb (1100) | no mails from NY |
| 23 do | 24 | B 4 | do (M) | PARTHIA | B 10 Feb | 20 Feb (1100) |  |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 12 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 14 Feb (16) | LP 26 Feb | no mails from NY |
| 30 do | 31 | B 15 | do (M) | BATAVIA | B 17 Feb (?) | 28 Feb (1900) |  |
| 3 Feb | 4 | NY 16 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 21 Feb | 1 Mar (1200) | no mails from NY |
| 6 do | 7 | B 19 | do (M) | SAMARIA | B 24 Feb | 5 Mar (1815) |  |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 21 | do (M) | ALGERIA | Wed NY 28 Feb | 9 Mar (0145) | see note 20 |

19. Westbound ALGERIA called at St. John's for coal and sailed 3 days late from New York.
20. As WISCONSIN (Guion Line) arrived at New York too late to be ready for the sailing scheduled for 28 February, the usual Wednesday mails were carried out by ALGERIA. On her arrival at Queenstown she was reported as landing 156 sacks of mail.

21. TRIPOLI'S L/V-she was wrecked near Tusker Rock on 17 May.
22. On JAVA's arrival at Queenstown she was reported as landing mails from New York. Not confirmed.
23. End of Fiscal Year 1872. OLYMPUS, sailing 3 days late from Boston, has been included in F/Y 1873.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1872 \\ & \text { PD LP } \end{aligned}$ | cont.) QT |  | ARR | PACKET | PD | ARR QT or LP | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 do | 17 | NY 26 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 3 Aug | 13 Aug | do |
| 18 do | 19 | B 30 | do | OLYMPUS | B 3 Aug (6) | 16 Aug (1530) |  |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 30 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 7 Aug | 16 Aug (0500) | no mails from NY |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 2 | Aug (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 10 Aug | 19 Aug (1230) |  |
| 25 do | 26 | B 5 | do | SIBERIA | B $10 \mathrm{Aug}(13)$ | LP 24 Aug |  |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 7 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 14 Aug | 24 Aug | no mails from NY |
| 30 do | 31 | NY 11 | do (M) | BATAVIA | NY 17 Aug | 27 Aug (2000) |  |
| 1 Aug | 2 | B 13 | do | HECLA | B $17 \mathrm{Aug}(20)$ | 30 Aug (1840) |  |
| 3 do | 4 | NY 13 | do (M) | SCOTIA | NY 21 Aug | 29 Aug | no mails from NY |
| 6 do | 7 | NY 16 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 24 Aug | 3 Sep |  |
| 8 do | 9 | B 19 | do | SAMARIA | B 24 Aug (27) | 6 Sep (2315) |  |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 20 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 28 Aug | 6 Sep | no mails from NY |
| 13 do | 14 | NY 24 | do (M) | PARTHIA | NY 31 Aug | 10 Sep (0430) |  |
| 15 do | 16 | B 28 | do | MALTA | B 31 Aug ( 3 Sep ) | 13 Sep (2030) |  |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 27 | do (M) | CHINA | NY 4 Sep | LP 14 Sep | no mails from NY |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 30 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 7 Sep | 16 Sep | do |
| 22 do | 23 | B 3 | Sep | OLYMPUS | B 7 Sep (10) | 21 Sep (1400) |  |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 3 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 11 Sep | 20 Sep (0530) | no mails from NY |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 7 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 14 Sep | 24 Sep (2020) |  |
| 29 do | 30 | B 10 | do | SIBERIA | B 14 Sep (17) | 28 Sep (0100) |  |
| 31 do | 1 Sep | NY 10 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 18 Sep | LP 28 Sep | no mails from NY |
| 3 Sep | 4 | NY 15 | do (M) | BATAVIA | NY 21 Sep | 2 Oct |  |
| 5 do | 6 | B 17 | do | HECLA | B 21 Sep (24) | 5 Oct (0820) |  |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 17 | do (M) | SCOTIA | NY 25 Sep | 4 Oct (1000) | no mails from NY |
| 9 do | 11 | NY 20 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 28 Sep | 7 Oct (1745) |  |
| 12 do | 13 | B 24 | do | SAMARIA | B $28 \mathrm{Sep}(1 \mathrm{Oct})$ | 11 Oct (1900) |  |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 25 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 2 Oct | 11 Oct (0900) | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 28 | do (M) | PARTHIA | NY 5 Oct | 14 Oct (2215) |  |
| 19 do | 20 | B 30 | do | MALTA | B 5 Oct (8) | 19 Oct (1000) |  |
| 21 do | 22 | - |  | CHINA | - | - | see note 24 |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 5 | Oct (M) | CALABRIA | NY 9 Oct | 18 Oct (1555) | no mails from NY |
| 26 do | 28 | B 10 | do | OLYMPUS | B 12 Oct (15) | 23 Oct |  |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 9 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 16 Oct | LP 25 Oct (late) | no mails from NY |
| 1 Oct | 2 | NY 12 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 19 Oct | 28 Oct (2115) |  |
| 3 do | 5 | B 16 | do | SIBERIA | B 19 Oct (22) | 1 Nov (1130) |  |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 16 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 23 Oct | 1 Nov (1415) | no mails from NY |
| 8 do |  | NY 20 | do (M) | BATAVIA | NY 26 Oct | LP 7 Nov | do |
| 10 do | 11 | B 23 | do | HECLA | B 26 Oct (?) | 10 Nov (1400) |  |
| 12 do | 13 | NY 22 | do (M) | SCOTIA | NY 30 Oct | 9 Nov (1040) | no mails from NY |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 26 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 2 Nov | 12 Nov | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | B 29 | do | SAMARIA | B $2 \mathrm{Nov}(5)$ | 15 Nov (1200) |  |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 29 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 6 Nov | 15 Nov (1445) | no mails from NY |
| 22 do | 23 | NY | Nov (M) | PARTHIA | NY 9 Nov | 19 Nov | do |
| 24 do | 25 | B 7 | do | MALTA | B $9 \mathrm{Nov}(12)$ | LP 9 Dec | see note 25 |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 6 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 13 Nov | 23 Nov | no mails from NY |
| 29 do | 31 | B 13 | do (M) | OLYMPUS | B 16 Nov | 27 Nov (0800) |  |
| 2 Nov | V 3 | NY 13 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 20 Nov | 29 Nov (0800) | no mails from NY |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 16 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 23 Nov | LP 3 Dec | do |
| 7 do | 8 | B 20 | do (M) | SIBERIA | B 23 Nov | 4 Dec (1630) |  |
| 9 do | 10 | NY 20 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 27 Nov | 7 Dec (0600) | see note 26 |
| 12 do | 13 | B 25 | do (M) | BATAVIA | B 30 Nov | 10 Dec (1445) |  |
| 16 do | 17 | NY | Dec (M) | ALGERIA | NY 4 Dec | 14 Dec (1015) | no mails from NY |
| 19 do | 20 | B 4 | do (M) | HECLA | B 7 Dec | 17 Dec (1130) |  |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 6 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 11 Dec | 21 Dec (0815) | no mails from NY |
| 26 do | 27 | B 13 | do (M) | SAMARIA | B 14 Dec | 25 Dec (1750) |  |
| 30 do 1 | 1 Dec | NY 13 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 18 Dec | 28 Dec (0230) | no mails from NY |
| 3 Dec | 4 | B 18 | do (M) | OLYMPUS | B 21 Dec | 31 Dec (0715) |  |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 21 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 25 Dec | 3 Jan (1430) | no mails from NY |
| 10 do | 11 | B 31 | do (M) | SIBERIA | B 28 Dec (?) | 13 Jan |  |

24. CHINA returned to Queenstown with mechanical trouble. Her mails were taken to New York by CALABRIA in addition to her own.
25. MALTA, out of Boston, broke her shaft and had to put into Halifax for repairs. It is thought she was carrying mails from Boston but it is not known whether they were forwarded from Halifax or retained on board.
26. There was no sailing by the Guion Line from New York on 27 November. The Wednesday mails were carried to Queenstown by CUBA.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1873 \\ & \text { PD LP } \end{aligned}$ | QT |  | ARR | PACKET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 31 | do (M) | CUBA |
| 17 do | 18 | B 4 | Jan (M) | MALTA |
| 21 do | 22 | NY 4 | do (M) | PARTHIA |
| 24 do | 25 | B 11 | do (M) | HECLA |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 12 | do (M) | JAVA |
| 31 do | 1 Jan | B 16 | do (M) | SAMARIA |
| 4 Jan | 5 | NY 17 | do (M) | CALABRIA |
| 7 do | 8 | B 20 | do (M) | BATAVIA |
| 11 do | 12 | NY 25 | do (M) | ALGERIA |
| 14 do | 15 | B 3 | Feb (M) | OLYMPUS |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 5 | do (M) | CUBA |
| 21 do | 22 | B 7 | do (M) | SIBERIA |
| 25 do | 26 | NY 7 | do (M) | PARTHIA |
| 28 do | 29 | B 12 | do (M) | MALTA |
| 1 Feb | 2 | NY 13 | do (M) | JAVA |
| 4 do | 5 | B 16 | do (M) | HECLA |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 18 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |
| 11 do | 12 | B 25 | do (M) | BATAVIA |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 27 | do (M) | ALGERIA |
| 18 do | 19 | B 3 | Mar (M) | SAMARIA |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 7 | do (M) | CALABRIA |
| 25 do | 26 | B 12 | do (M) | OLYMPUS |
| 1 Mar | 2 | NY 14 | do (M) | PARTHIA |
| 4 do | 5 | B 20 | do (M) | PALMYRA |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 20 | do (M) | CUBA |
| 11 do | 12 | B 23 | do (M) | MALTA |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 26 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |
| 18 do | 19 | B 30 | do (M) | HECLA |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 3 | Apr (M) | ALGERIA |
| 25 do | 26 | B 6 | do (M) | BATAVIA |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 8 | do (M) | RUSSIA |
| 1 Apr | 2 | NY 12 | do (M) | CALABRIA |
| 3 do | 4 | B 14 | do | SIBERIA |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 15 | do (M) | JAVA |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 18 | do (M) | PARTHIA |
| 10 do | 11 | B 23 | do | PALMYRA |
| 10 do |  | B 22 | do | OLYMPUS |
| 12 do | 13 | NY 22 | do (M) | CUBA |
| 15 do | 16 | B 27 | do (M) | SAMARIA |
| 17 do | 18 | B 29 | do | MALTA |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 30 | do (M) | SCOTIA |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 2 | May (M) | ABYSSINIA |
| 24 do | 25 | B 5 | do | HECLA |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 8 | do (M) | ALGERIA |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 12 | do (M) | BATAVIA |
| 1 May | - | B 13 | do | ATLAS |
| 3 do | 4 | NY 14 | do (M) | RUSSIA |
| 6 do | 7 | NY 17 | do (M) | CALABRIA |
| 8 do | - | B 19 | do | SIBERIA |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 20 | do (M) | JAVA |
| 13 do | 14 | NY 24 | do (M) | PARTHIA |
| 16 do | 17 | B 28 | do | PALMYRA |
| 15 do | - | B 26 | do | OLYMPUS |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 28 | do (M) | CUBA |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 3 | Jun (M) | SAMARIA |
| 22 do | 23 | B 6 | do | MALTA |
| 24 do | 25 | NY | do (M) | SCOTIA |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 7 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |
| 29 do | 30 | B 9 | do | HECLA |
| 31 do | 1 Jun | NY 10 | do (M) | ALGERIA |
| 3 Jun | 4 | NY 14 | do (M) | BATAVIA |
| 5 do | 6 | B 15 | do | ATLAS |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 18 | do (M) | RUSSIA |


27. On arrival at Queenstown ABYSSINIA was reported as landing mails from New York. Not confirmed.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1873 \\ & \text { PD LP } \end{aligned}$ | (cont.) QT |  | ARR | PACKET |  |  | PD | ARR QT | or LP | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 22 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 28 | Jun | 7 Jul | (0700) | do |
| 12 do | 13 | B 24 | do | SIBERIA |  | B 28 | Jun (?) | 12 Jul | (0100) | see note 28 |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 25 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 2 | Jul | 11 Jul | (1200) | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 30 | do (M) | PARTHIA |  | NY 5 | Jul | 15 Jul | (1300) |  |
| 19 do | 20 | B 2 | Jul | OLYMPUS |  | B 5 | Jul (8) | 19 Jul | (0330) |  |
| 21 do | 22 | NY 2 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 9 | Jul | 19 Jul | (0030) | no mails from NY |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 8 | do (M) | SAMARIA |  | NY 12 | Jul | 24 Jul | (0200) |  |
| 26 do | 27 | B 9 | do | TARIFA |  | B 12 | Jul (?) | 26 Jul | (0030) |  |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 9 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 16 | Jul | 25 Jul | (1700) | no mails from NY |
| 1 Jul | 2 | NY 12 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 19 | Jul | 28 Jul | (2300) | do |
| 3 do | 4 | B 16 | do | HECLA |  | B 19 | Jul (22) | 2 Aug | (0800) |  |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 16 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 23 | Jul | 2 Aug | (0915) | no mails from NY |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 20 | do (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 26 |  | 5 Aug | (2015) | do |
| 10 do | 11 | B 21 | do | ATLAS |  | B 26 | Jul (29) | 8 Aug | (1035) |  |
| 12 do | 13 | NY 23 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 30 |  | 8 Aug | (1020) | no mails from NY |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 27 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 2 | Aug | 12 Aug | (0330) | no mails from NY |
| 17 do | 18 | B 30 | do | SIBERIA |  | B 2 | Aug (5) | 16 Aug | (1900) |  |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 30 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 6 | Aug | 15 Aug | (1815) | no mails from NY |
| 22 do | 23 | B 4 | Aug (M) | PALMYRA |  | B 9 | July | 20 Aug | (0900) |  |
| 24 do | 25 | B 5 | do | OLYMPUS | Tu | B 12 | Aug | 23 Aug | (1300) |  |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 6 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 13 | Aug | 23 Aug | (1730) | no mails from NY |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 10 | do (M) | SAMARIA |  | NY 16 | Aug | 28 Aug |  | do |
| 31 do 1 | 1 Aug | B 14 | do | TARIFA |  | B 16 | Aug (?) | 30 Aug | (2230) |  |
| ${ }_{2}$ Aug | 8 | NY 13 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 20 | Aug | 30 Aug | (0400) | no mails from NY |
| 5 do | 6 | NY 16 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 23 | Aug | LP 2 S | Sp |  |
| 7 do | 8 | B 19 | do | HECLA |  | B 23 | Aug (?) | 5 Sep | (2045) |  |
| 9 do | 10 | NY 20 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 27 | Aug | 6 Sep | (0530) | no mails from NY |
| 12 do | 13 | NY 24 | do (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 30 | Aug | 9 Sep | (1715) | see note 29 |
| 14 do | 15 | B 27 | do | ATLAS |  | B 30 | Aug (2 Sep) | 12 Sep | (0700) |  |
| 16 do | 17 | NY 27 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 3 |  | 12 Sep | (0900) | no mails from NY |
| 19 do | 20 | NY 30 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 6 |  | 16 Sep | (0500) | do |
| 21 do | 22 | B 2 | Sep | SIBERIA |  | B 6 | Sep (9) | LP 21 S | Sep |  |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 3 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 10 |  | LP 20 S | Sep | no mails from NY |
| 26 do | 27 | NY 7 | do (M) | PARTHIA |  | NY 13 |  | 23 Sep | (1700) |  |
| 28 do | 29 | B 9 | do | OLYMPUS |  | B 13 | Sep (16) | 27 Sep | (0745) |  |
| 30 do | 31 | NY 10 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 17 | Sep | 27 Sep | (1600) | no mails from NY |
| 2 Sep | 3 | NY 14 | do (M) | SAMARIA |  | NY 20 | Sep | 4 Oct | (2400) | do |
| 4 do | 5 | B 16 | do | MARATHON |  | B 20 | Sep (23) | 4 Oct | (0700) |  |
| 6 do | 7 | NY 17 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 24 | Sep | 4 Oct | (0300) | see note 30 |
| 9 do | 10 | NY 20 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 27 | Sep | 7 Oct | (0200) | no mails from NY |
| 11 do | 12 | B 23 | do | HECLA |  | B 27 | Sep (30) | 11 Oct | (2100) |  |
| 13 do | 14 | NY 25 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 1 | Oct | LP 12 | Oct | no mails from NY |
| 16 do | 17 | NY 28 | do (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 4 | Oct | 15 Oct | (1800) |  |
| 18 do | 19 | B 29 | do | ATLAS |  | B 4 | Oct (?) | 18 Oct | (0900) |  |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 30 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 8 | Oct | 18 Oct | (1400) | no mails from NY |
| 23 do | 24 | NY 3 | Oct (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 11 | Oct | 21 Oct | (0600) |  |
| 25 do | 26 | B 6 | do | SIBERIA |  | B 11 | Oct (14) | 24 Oct | (0830) |  |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 7 | do (M) | JAVA |  | NY 15 | Oct | 25 Oct | (0115) | no mails from NY |
| 30 do 2 | 2 Oct | NY 12 | do (M) | PARTHIA |  | NY 18 | Oct | 29 Oct | (0500) | do |
| 2 Oct | 3 | B 15 | do | OLYMPUS |  | B 18 | Oct (21) | 1 Nov | (0700) |  |
| 4 do | 5 | NY 16 | do (M) | CUBA |  | NY 22 | Oct | 1 Nov | (0800) | no mails from NY |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 21 | do (M) | SAMARIA |  | NY 25 | Oct | 5 Nov | (1600) |  |
| 9 do | 10 | B 21 | do | MARATHON |  | B 25 | Oct (28) | 7 Nov | (2000) |  |
| 11 do | 12 | NY 22 | do (M) | SCOTIA |  | NY 29 | Oct | 8 Nov | (1400) | no mails from NY |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 24 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA |  | NY 1 | Nov | 12 Nov | (1500) | no mails from NY |
| 16 do | 17 | B 27 | do | HECLA |  | B 1 | Nov (4) | 15 Nov | (0800) |  |
| 18 do | 19 | NY 30 | do (M) | ALGERIA |  | NY 5 | Nov | 16 Nov | (2100) | no mails from NY |
| 21 do | 22 | NY 2 | Nov (M) | BATAVIA |  | NY 8 | Nov | 19 Nov | (1800) | do |
| 23 do | 24 | B 3 | do | ATLAS |  | B 8 | Nov (11) | 22 Nov | (1100) |  |
| 25 do | 26 | NY 5 | do (M) | RUSSIA |  | NY 12 | Nov | 22 Nov | (0500) | no mails from NY |
| 28 do | 29 | NY 10 | do (M) | CALABRIA |  | NY 15 | Nov | 25 Nov | (1500) | do |

28. End of Fiscal Year 1873. SIBERIA's sailing from Boston, scheduled for 28 June has been included in F/Y 1874.
29. C.L. Friend reports that BATAVIA was listed at New York as carrying the mails. Not confirmed.
30. WYOMING (Guion Line) sailed four days late. The Wednesday mails from New York were carried to Queenstown by SCOTIA.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1873 \text { (co } \\ & \text { PD LP } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ARR | PACKET | PD | ARR QT or LP | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 do | 31 | B 13 | do | SIBERIA | B 15 Nov (18) | 30 Nov (0900) |  |
| 1 Nov | 2 | NY 13 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 19 Nov | 29 Nov (0600) | no mails from NY |
| 4 do | 5 | B 15 | do (M) | PARTHIA | B 22 Nov (?) | 1 Dec (1430) |  |
| 8 do | 9 | NY 21 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 26 Nov | 5 Dec (2100) | no mails from NY |
| 11 do | 12 | B 23 | do (M) | SAMARIA | B 29 Nov | 9 Dec (2200) |  |
| 15 do | 16 | NY 27 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 3 Dec | 15 Dec (1800) | no mails from NY |
| 18 do | 19 | B 3 | Dec (M) | MARATHON | B 6 Dec | 16 Dec (0900) |  |
| 22 do | 23 | NY 6 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 10 Dec | 20 Dec (0230) | see note 31 |
| 25 do | 26 | B 9 | do (M) | OLYMPUS | B 13 Dec | 23 Dec (0900) |  |
| 29 do | 30 | NY 10 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 17 Dec | 28 Dec (0230) | no mails from NY |
| 2 Dec | 3 | B 14 | do (M) | ATLAS | B 20 Dec | 30 Dec (0400) |  |
| 6 do | 7 | NY 19 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 24 Dec | 2 Jan (0030) | see note 32 |
| 9 do | 10 | B 22 | do (M) | PARTHIA | B 27 Dec | 6 Jan (0400) |  |
| 13 do | 14 | NY 26 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 31 Dec | 10 Jan (0700) | no mails from NY |
| 1874 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 do | 17 | B 1 | Jan (M) | SAMARIA | B 3 J an (3) | LP 16 Jan |  |
| 20 do | 21 | NY 4 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 7 Jan* | LP 18 Jan | see note 33 |
| 23 do | 24 | B 6 | do (M) | HECLA | B 10 Jan | 20 Jan |  |
| 27 do | 28 | NY 10 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 14 Jan* | 24 Jan (0300) |  |
| 30 do | 31 | B 14 | do (M) | OLYMPUS | B 17 Jan | 29 Jan (0800) |  |
| 3 Jan | 4 | NY 14 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 21 Jan | 30 Jan | no mails from NY |
| 6 do | 7 | B 18 | do (M) | BATAVIA | B 24 Jan | 3 Feb (0500) |  |
| 10 do | 11 | NY 23 | do (M) | JAVA | NY 28 Jan* | 7 Feb (0300) |  |
| 13 do | 14 | B 26 | do (M) | PARTHIA | B 31 Jan | LP 12 Feb |  |
| 17 do | 18 | NY 29 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 4 Feb | 13 Feb (0900) |  |
| 20 do | 21 | B 2 | Feb (M) | SAMARIA | B 7 Feb | 17 Feb (2200) |  |
| 24 do | 25 | NY 5 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 11 Feb* | 20 Feb (1915) |  |
| 27 do | 28 | B 9 | do (M) | HECLA | B 14 Feb | 24 Feb (1000) |  |
| 31 do 1 | 1 Feb | NY 13 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 18 Feb* | LP 1 Mar | no mails from NY |
| 3 Feb | 4 | B 16 | do (M) | MARATHON | B 21 Feb | 3 Mar (0800) |  |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 20 | do (M) | RUSSIA | NY 25 Feb* | 8 Mar (2200) |  |
| 10 do | 11 | B 24 | do (M) | ATLAS | B 28 Feb | 11 Mar (2300) |  |
| 14 do | 15 | NY 27 | do (M) | CUBA | NY 4 Mar* | 15 Mar (0100) |  |
| 17 do | 18 | B 2 | Mar (M) | PARTHIA | B 7 Mar | LP 18 Mar |  |
| 21 do | 22 | NY 7 | do (M) | ABYSSINIA | NY 11 Mar* | 20 Mar (1400) |  |
| 24 do | 25 | B 9 | do (M) | BATAVIA | B 14 Mar | 24 Mar (0720) |  |
| 28 do 1 | 1 Mar | NY 11 | do (M) | CALABRIA | NY 18 Mar* | 29 Mar |  |
| 3 Mar | 4 | B 14 | do (M) | HECLA | B 21 Mar | LP 1 Apr |  |
| 7 do | 8 | NY 18 | do (M) | ALGERIA | NY 25 Mar* | 4 Apr (2300) | no mails from NY |
| 10 do | 11 | B 21 | do (M) | MARATHON | B 28 Mar | 7 Apr (0145) |  |

31. C.L. Friend reports that ALGERIA carried out the Wednesday mails from New York. Not confirmed.
32. On arrival at Queenstown JAVA was reported as landing mails from New York. Not confirmed
33. The Cunard packets carrying the United States mails to Queenstown and Liverpool now sailed from New York on Wednesdays.
(To be continued)
Review: Atlantic Mails. By J. C. Arnell with the editorial collaboration of K. S. Mackenzie. Published 1980 by the National Postal Museum, Ottawa, Canada. xii +412 pages. Regular edition $\$ 40.00$ in Canadian funds (or equivalent) postpaid from National Postal Museum, 180 Wellington St., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 1C6; bank draft or international money order payable to the Receiver General for Canada. Deluxe edition-autographed, with slip case- $\$ 60.00$ Canadian.

Those of us who collect and study Canadian transatlantic mails have been awaiting with increasing impatience the appearance of Jack Arnell's long-promised work. The long wait has been worthwhile - we have been rewarded with a magnificent book.

Dr. Arnell is a distinguished postal historian and meticulous researcher who has been studying the transatlantic mails for many years. As a scientist employed by the Canadian government at Ottawa until his retirement in 1973 he had ready access to material in the Public Archives of Canada. The information in Atlantic Mails is largely based on previously unexplored original sources. The account begins with the introductory packet services of the late seventeenth and early
eighteenth centuries and continues with details of the establishment of permanent packet services to New York and the West Indies in 1755. Operation of the Fal-mouth-New York service, expansion of services to Canada after the British conquest, the Revolutionary War period, establishment of Halifax as a packet port, and the overland route from Halifax to Quebec are thoroughly discussed. The effects of the Napoleonic Wars and the War of 1812 on Falmouth packet services are subjects of another chapter. Growing competition from American sailing vessels and the consequent end of packet service to New York are discussed next.

Dr. Arnell continues with the profound changes wrought by the transatlantic steamship; the Cunard contract and its implementation; problems with the internal route between Halifax and Quebec; handling of mails; and development of closed mails via the United States. The next four chapters on the foundation of Canadian contract mail service; the emergence of the Allan Line; carriage of U.S. transatlantic mail by Allan Line steamers; markings employed on Allan Line vessels; expansion and adjustment of services; and internal arrangements for Canadian packet mail are the work of Kenneth S. Mackenzie, a researcher at the National Postal Museum.

Dr. Arnell devotes a chapter to the postal rates in effect in Great Britain, the United States, and British North America throughout the period covered and which were charged on mail between Great Britain and British North America. Another chapter describes and illustrates the handstamps used on B.N.A. transatlantic mail 1840-68.

Three sets of appendices - about 175 pages - crammed with valuable information, follow. Appendix One consists of lists of Falmouth packets active during the American Revolution; those captured; packets in service at Falmouth 1815-40; naval sloops converted to packet service 1823 and after. It also includes the text of several original documents: the 1792 U.S.-Canada postal convention; the 1845 closed mail "Wickliffe" agreement; instructions to packet commanders carrying mail; and extracts from the 1848 U.S.-G.B. postal treaty.

Appendix Two presents sailing tables as follows: Falmouth packets to North America 1795-1840; Cunard mail boat sailings to the United States 1827-40; Cunard transatlantic sailings to Boston 1840-67, to New York 1848-67; Canadian Steam Navigation Co. sailings 1853-4; Collins Line sailings to New York 1855; Allan Line sailings 1856-90; Inman/Allan Line sailings to Halifax 1868-78. Falmouth tables incorporate arrivals and departures at Bermuda where relevant. Cunard tables for Boston include intermediate stops at Halifax, as do New York tables for the brief period applicable (1848-50).

Twelve maps illustrating B.N.A. mail routes-sea and land-between 1764 and 1853 form Appendix Three. Notes on the text and sources, a bibliography, and an index complete the book.

Production values match the outstanding character of the material presented. Design, layout, printing, paper, and binding are very attractive and of excellent quality. Illustrations are generous-58 in color, chiefly covers, mainly from Jack Arnell's collection, 27 in black and white, and over 65 diagrams of postal markings. There are a few minor flaws-the color in some illustrations is slightly inexact and some typos have escaped detection. The captions for a few illustrations incorrectly analyze British ship letter charges involved, and there are some inaccuracies in the description of U.S.-Canada mail arrangements in 1849. These points are mentioned for the record only; they do not detract from the overall excellence of the book.

In the August issue, in discussing the Quarterman reprint of Frank Staff's The Transatlantic Mail, I stated that it, George Hargest's Letter Post Communication, and Alan Robertson's Maritime Postal History of the British Isles were the three essential references for transatlantic mails. Now the list must include a fourth title-Atlantic Mails. This volume is a necessity for all collectors and students of transatlantic mail, whether Canadian or U.S. Atlantic Mails will surely become an enduring classic for, as Leonard Hartmann stated to me in a letter, it is the "Canadian Hargest."

Susan M. McDonald

## PHILATELIC BIBLIOPOLE

## Authoritative Philatelic Literature

## ATLANTIC MAILS

A History of the Mail Service Between Great Britain and Canada to 1889
by Dr. John C. Arnell
An exceptional work of value to every serious student of transatlantic mail.
1980,412 pages, 58 colour illustrations, cloth
A POSTAL HISTORY OF MISSISSIPPI
STAMPLESS PERIOD 1799-1860
by Bruce C. Oakley, Jr.
The long awaited second volume is now out and in stock.
1980, Vol 2, 673 pages, limited edition, cloth
\$30.00
1967, Vol 1, 290 pages, limited edition of 300, cloth
POSTAL MARKINGS
by Harry M. Konwiser
A reprint of Konwiser's "Postal Markings" columns from STAMPS 1980, 762 pages, cloth. A most productive source book.
$\$ 60.00$

## UNITED STATES CANCELLATIONS 1845-1869

by Hubert C. Skinner and Amos Eno
1980, 362 pages, 4,030 postal markings illustrated, cloth
\$25.00
deluxe, $1 / 4$ leather $\$ 50.00$
THE FIRST HUNDRED YEARS OF UNITED STATES TERRITORIAL POSTMARKS 1787-1887
by Dr. Carroll Chase and Richard McP. Cabeen 1980, reprint of 1950 book, 41 pages, cloth
$\$ 35.00$

## PAT PARAGRAPHS

We now believe the long awaited reprint will appear, please confirm your orders. Original sets in stock from $\$ 325.00$ to $\$ 550.00$.

## C.S.A. STAMPLESS COVER CATALOG

by Benjamin Wishnietsky
1980, 1st edition, 100 pages, card
$\$ 15.00$
MALTA
The Postal History \& Postage Stamps, 1576-1960
This long awaited volume from Robson Lowe is finally in stock. 1980, 427 pages, cloth
$\$ 65.00$
(502) 451-0317

## Leonard H. Hartmann

Post Office Box 21397
Louisville, Ky. 40221

## THE COVER CORNER

SCOTT GALLAGHER, Editor

This is being written just after Midaphil in Kansas City where our Society had a meeting. The material donated so far to the "Stamp and Cover Repository and Analysis Program" has been photographed by Richard B. Graham, and the prints and slides were displayed and discussed in Kansas City. The original items will now be hand-delivered to the Philatelic Foundation in New York City during November where they will be available for study and comparison purposes. Some of the items will be written up and presented in the Cover Corner in future issues.

## ANSWER TO PROBLEM COVERS IN ISSUE NO. 107



Figure 1. From Savannah to Montreal in 1871.
Figure 1 shows a folded letter sent to Canada in 1871. Our only answer, with which this Editor agrees, came from Editor-in-Chief Susan McDonald, who writes:

The $6 \$$ banknote cover from Savannah to Montreal, like many surprised travelers in recent months, made an unscheduled trip to Havana. Savannah was a terminus of the U.S.-Cuba mail service. This letter was missorted at the Savannah post office and by error put into the bag for Havana, where it received the familiar oval NAl (partial strike center right) before the mistake was noticed. The letter was then returned not to Savannah, but in the Havana-New York bag. On arrival at New York this cover received the NEW YORK STEAMSHIP 10 postmark more or less automatically, since only $6 \phi$ postage appeared. However, $6 \phi$ was the correct rate to Canada in 1871, the penalty rate for unpaid letters being $10 ¢$. I doubt the Allan Line paid any collect postage on this letter.

Figure 2 shows a tough problem cover, due to the four ratings. We received two answers, the first from Susan McDonald, who writes:

[^14]

Figure 2. Cover to Sewall, aboard the U.S.S. "Saratoga", addressed to Chile.
postage in centavos (paid by the consul and crossed out). Sewall had evidently left Chile and the letter was readdressed to him in Maine, care of Madigan. It traveled back to the U.S. outside the mails, probably in the diplomatic pouch, and was remailed at Washington, D.C., rated in ms. " 5 " due as an unpaid letter. The $5 \phi$ unpaid rate ended March 31, 1855, further limiting the possible date of use.

## The other answer came from Richard B. Graham, whose comments are:

This cover represents a combination of usages seldom seen. Sent from New Bedford, Mass. (illegible date) to aboard the U.S.S. Sloop of War, Saratoga, in 1853 or 1854, it was taken to Panama by U.S. steamer and there turned over to the British postal system which conveyed it in one of their steamers traversing the west coast of South America to Valparaiso, Chile. Sent in care of the American consul there, the cover arrived too late to meet the Saratoga, then returning from the Orient to the U.S. Consequently, the consul sent the letter by diplomatic pouch to Washington, D.C., from where it was sent on to the addressee in Maine.

The earliest date the cover could have been sent is established by the rate of $48 \phi$, which was effective Oct. 1, 1853, as stated in the 1853 PMG report (pp. 719-20; 75253). Postage was $24 \phi$ U.S., plus an equal share for the British, or a total of $48 \phi$ with credit to Britain of 24 (red handstamp).

The addressee, John S. Sewall, had a short but highly interesting naval career and many other covers addressed to him may be found, some with the well known and popular New York Naval Lyceum forwarding marking. Sewall had just graduated from college when he joined the U.S.S. Saratoga, a sailing sloop of war with 22 guns, as Captain's Clerk-which is to say, the captain's private secretary, and all he then knew was that the Saratoga was slated for a four year cruise as a part of the U.S. Navy's East India Squadron lying in ports such as Hong Kong, Macao, Singapore, etc. The Saratoga left Hampton Roads in September of 1850, and returned to Boston in September of 1854, which thus establishes the latest year possible for our cover to be sent.

During Sewall's four year stint, which wás his only naval service, he was aboard the Saratoga on both of her visits to Japan as part of the Perry Expedition. As Captain's Clerk, Sewall was ashore and witnessed much of the ceremony on both visits. Fifty years later, in 1903, he was one of three of the few surviving members of the expedition to again visit Japan and participate in ceremonies commemorating the first landing in 1853. In 1905, Sewall published a little book of reminiscences, The Log Book of the Captain's Clerk, from which much of the data given here has been culled.

## PROBLEM COVERS FOR THIS ISSUE

Figures 3 and 4 show two "Due 1" marked covers, neither year dated. What is different about these seemingly similar covers? Will several readers please try to explain the rates?

Figure 5 shows an easy one, an attractive stampless cover from France to the U.S. It bears a London transit mark on the back. Why is the " 48 " crossed out, and what is the year of use?


Figure 3. Stampless cover handstamped "ADVERTISED" and "DUE/1".


Figure 4. Vertical pair of $18613 \phi$ cancelled "STEAM BOAT" on cover marked "DUE/1".


Figure 5. Samples cover from France to Indiana.

## POSTAL HISTORY AUCTIONS

I'll be happy to send a copy of my next catalog to any member of the USPCS on request.

And I can always use any U.S. covers for outright purchase or consignment to the auction. Sales held four times a year.

Henry M. Spelman III
P.O. Box 645

San Anselmo, CA 94960

## 1847-1869 ISSUES

STAMPS, CANCELS, COVERS

ALWAYS IN STOCK
When at stamp shows be sure TO VIIIT MY BOOTH AND INSPECT an outstanding array of these CLASSICS.
also request my regular auction catalogs as these issues are usually included.

WILLIAM A. FOX 263 White Oak Ridge Road Short Hills, N.J. 07078

Charter member of U.S.
Philatelic Classics Society

## U.S. COVERS

MY STOCK OF COVERS IS STRONG IN ALL PERIODS FROM STAMPLESS TO MODERN, ALABAMA TO WYOMING. WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE? REFERENCES, PLEASE.

HENRY M. SPELMAN III

P. O. Box 645<br>San Anselmo, Ca. 94960<br>APS USPCS CSA



## HARMERS New York

## DURING THE 1980-81 SEASON

Many fine Classic U.S. issues ...
some common, some scarce, some unique...
will be offered through the HARMER Auctions.

Request catalogue application blank

## HARMERS of New York Inc.

6 WEST 48TH STREET, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 757-4460 (3 lines) Cable: Harmersale, New York New York - San Francisco - London - Sydney



[^0]:    Address changes and inquiries about non-receipt of copies should be sent to the Secretary; orders for back issues to Publication Sales Chairman, other correspondence to Editor-in-Chief. Members are invited to report new items and to submit articles for publication, to the appropriate Section Editor, or to the Editor-in-Chief. In no case should stamps or covers be sent, unless requested by a member of the editorial staff. While in an editor's possession, such items will be carefully guarded, but no liability for loss or damage is assumed by an editor or the Society.

[^1]:    *Stamps printed on gray paper have a definite gray appearance, and stamps printed on blue paper have a distinctive look to the paper that is darker than the normal stamp printed on bluish wove paper, but not so dark as the proof printed on blue bond paper.

[^2]:    1. T. J. Alexander, Simpson's U.S. Postal Markings 1851-61, 1979, p. 387.
    2. Chronicle 105:30, flaw \#38.
[^3]:    1. John N. Luff, The Postage Stamps of the United States (Weekly Philatelic Gossip Reprint), 72.
[^4]:    1. This writer had the opportunity to purchase this cover at Interphil-76, but had already spent all of his money on other 'philatelic goodies. The item was mentioned to Charles Starnes, and it ended up in his collection forthwith. Everytime I see it, which is quite often, a sort of whimsical and envious feeling comes over me. Yes, we all have let some real beauties get away!
[^5]:    2. Grilling was listed as preceding perforating on the 1869 stamps in "How Our New Postage Stamps are Made" by Cosmopolitan (American Journal of Philately, Vol. II, 20 Oct. 1869, 113-4). Since both the 1867-68 and 1869 stamps were manufactured by the National Bank Note Company, it is assumed the " $F$ " grills were applied before perforating.
[^6]:    1. John N. Luff, The Postage Stamps of the United States (Gossip Reprint), p. 70.
    2. Stanley B. Ashbrook, Ashbrook Special Service, No. 17 (August 1, 1952), p. 114.
    3. Dr. Carroll Chase, Classic United States Stamps 1845-1869 (1962), p. 24.
    4. Eustace B. Power, The General Issues of United States Stamps (1909), pp. 24-5.
    5. Lester G. Brookman, The United States Postage Stamps of the 19th Century, Vol. II (1966), pp. 39 and 145.
[^7]:    6. The Treaty rate was 10 cents per $1 / 2$ ounce ( 15 cents over 3,000 miles) from $7 / 51$ to $1 / 68$, when it became 10 cents per $1 / 2$ ounce "irrespective of distance." It remained so until $4 / 68$, when it became 6 cents per $1 / 2$ ounce ( 10 cents unpaid). Since Figure 1 was mailed in March of 1868 , it is an example, strictly speaking, of a three-months rate. This rate information is taken from the unpublished foreign rate book of Charles Starnes.
    7. Lot 624 of R.A. Siegel Sale 524 is a dubious use of the $5 \phi$ " $F$ " grill (the $5 \phi$ " $F$ " grill stamps are not tied, the Aug. 19, 1868, date of use is earlier than the stamp agent records indicate possible, and the rate is underpaid).
[^8]:    1. Lester Brookman, U.S. Postage Stamps of the 19th Century, 1966, II, 175.
    2. 1869 Times \#7 (May 1977), p. 4.
    3. Chronicle 89, 31.
[^9]:    4. Brookman, op. cit., II, 173.
    5. Chronicle 93, 42; Chronicle 97, 48.
[^10]:    1. Copies of Japanese Philately of the current volume may be ordered from the publisher, Murray H. Schefer, 530 East Indian Spring Drive, Silver Spring, Md. 20901 at $\$ 2$ each.
[^11]:    2. Editor's note: In the course of readying this manuscript for the printer I became very intrigued by the questions raised by this cover, and concluded the probable writer is Francis Hall and the likeliest origin is Kanagawa, which Ryohei Ishikawa tells me is about five miles from Yokohama. This belief is based on the similarity of handwriting on covers known to have originated from Francis Hall at Kanagawa and illustrated in Ishikawa's The Forerunner Foreign Post Offices in Japan, British-U.S.-French. This origin would explain the short interval between letter dateline and ship sailing, and help account for some other points. I hope the census of Hall covers now being undertaken by Japanese Philately will furnish a definite answer.
[^12]:    1. American Philatelic Society, 1970. One of the top four or five books on U.S. postal his-tory-a well-balanced and fascinating blend of pertinent history and philatelic research.
    2. If transmitted by land routes, each country collected its own domestic postage to or from border point.
    3. For a fine series on military mails in the Mexican War of 1846-8, see Dale Pulver, Chronicle 98, 86; 99, 172; 100, 240.
    4. Complete convention, PMG Report for 1862, Wierenga reprint, 159-63; Post Office Dept. announcement, USM \& POA, 4 Jul. 1862, issue of Aug. 1862.
[^13]:    1. "Some Interesting Prussian Closed Mail Covers," Chronicle 62:81.
    2. George E. Hargest, History of Letter Post Communication, etc., p. 27.
[^14]:    Regarding the cover to Chile, I did not succeed in finding the addressee, John S. Sewall, in the sources I have, but I did locate John Madigan in the 1847, 1851, and 1853 Official Registers as a passed midshipman (serving on the Saratoga in 1851 and 1853). By the rate charged I'd calculate the date of this cover about $1853-55$. The rate to Chile was $48 \&$ in the period Oct. 1853 to Dec. 1856. Subsequent rates were $34 \%$ and $22 \%$. The " 24 " must represent credit to the British post office for its share of the rate. There appears to be a crossed-out ms. " 25 " left of PAID; this may be local Chilean

